

Feedback Form

LT2 RFP: Joint Session IESO, MECP and MNRF

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Tom Allwood

Title: Councillor , Municipality of Grey Highlands

Organization: Chair of the Multiple Municipal Energy Working Group

Date: Feb 23 2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the LT RFP engagement webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark as "Confidential".

Following the February 9, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [LT RFP engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by February 23, 2024.

Item	Feedback
<p>Please provide any general feedback to the IESO on what considerations need to be reflected in the LT2 Report Back on the procurement timelines and design to ensure efficient alignment with the proposed MNRF and MECP processes.</p>	<p>The MMEWG is concerned that the LT2 RFP is moving forward without addressing the issues with noise compliance and setbacks. The MECP indicated there will be no updates to the required setbacks from noise receptors and property lines even though there have been numerous issues that have jeopardized the health and well-being of residents living in the vicinity of existing IWT project sites. The current setback of blade length plus 10 metres is insufficient to protect the public from tower collapse, blade failure and debris scatter, and ice throw.</p> <p>Failure to address these issues will make the required municipal support resolution difficult to obtain.</p>
<p>Please provide what additional details are needed to inform project siting, development, and timelines to ensure projects are in-service by 2030.</p>	<p>Since the net new resources in LT2 RFP will be derived mostly from Wind and solar, the required BESS “storage fleet” mentioned in the IESO’s news release will also require municipal support resolutions. The gaps in BESS projects regulation and siting these BESS projects on agricultural land as an OFDU will again make the required municipal support resolution difficult to obtain.</p>