MRP Stakeholder Feedback Summary Report

Market Rules and Market Manuals

Noteworthy Open Feedback and Target Resolutions

#	Feedback Source	Summarized Feedback	Latest Update	Target Resolution
1	MPM	Stakeholders would like additional details how the pre-dispatch calculation engine will infer the thermal state. Including cases where MGBDT is greater than 24 hours for the warm or cold thermal states.	This will be addressed in the market and system operations batch of market rules and market manuals.	Jul 2023
2	Calculation Engine	Stakeholders would like additional details on how a market participant could anticipate that a RT schedule would be below the reserve loading point prior to operating reserve offer submission window to ensure they meet operating reserve offer submission requirements.	This will be addressed in the market and system operations batch of market rules and market manuals.	Jul 2023
3	МРМ	Stakeholders would like additional details on the Independent Review Process, including standards of quality, transparency, and completeness for the consultant's presentation of findings.	The Independent Review Process is being refined to reflect stakeholder feedback. Potential supplements to rule and manual language on this topic will be brought forward for stakeholder review.	Mar 2023

#	Feedback Source	Summarized Feedback	Latest Update	Target Resolution
4	Interim Alignment	Some stakeholders are concerned that some of the new and updated defined terms include circularity and non-intuitive language.	As the IESO updates the rules with each batch, we will continue to refine the proposed definitions. The IESO welcomes stakeholder feedback into specific areas that should be reviewed.	May 2024

Noteworthy Closed Feedback and Resolutions

#	Feedback Source	Feedback	Decision	Closed Date
1	MPM	Stakeholders would like detailed examples to better understand mitigation of make whole payments for Non-Quick Start resources. Examples should describe the process of settlement statement/mitigation measures/penalties/guarantee payments.	This request was addressed as part of the stakeholder engagement and education of the settlement batch of draft market rules. Examples are now available on the MRP Stakeholder Engagement webpage.	Dec 2022
2	МРМ	Stakeholders would like additional details on the settlement charge(s) applied as a result of mitigation activities and the supporting NOD process.	This request was addressed as part of the settlement batch of draft market rules. A market participant may submit a Notice of Disagreement (NOD) for any settlement charge applied as a result of mitigation activities, including mitigation for physical withholding and economic withholding.	Dec 2022
3	МРМ	Stakeholders would like additional details on the methodology the IESO will use to determine Constrained Areas and their application within the MPM framework including in the calculation engine and settlement mitigation.	This request was addressed as part of the calculation engine and settlement batches of draft market rules. Details can be found in the market rule sections on ex-ante mitigation and settlement mitigation.	Dec 2022
4	Interim Alignment	Stakeholders would like examples to better understand the meaning of new and updated defined terms such as: facility, resource, load equipment, generating unit, boundary resources.	This request was addressed as part of the stakeholder feedback of the interim alignment batch of draft market rules. Examples are now available on the <u>Technical Panel</u> webpage.	Dec 2022

#	Feedback Source	Feedback	Decision	Closed Date
5	Interim Alignment	Stakeholders would like to better understand the rationale for, and any impacts to authority of, moving requirements from Market manuals to Market Rules.	This request was addressed as part of the stakeholder feedback of the interim alignment batch of draft market rules. The proposed changes represent a reorganization of the information related to the existing requirements, consistent with the approach to Market Rule and Manual drafting set out in the IESO's February 4, 2020 presentation to the Technical Panel.	Dec 2022
6	MPM	Some stakeholders are concerned that the reference level informational submission timelines for requests to use of the higher cost reference level profile are insufficient to gather accurate and defensible documents.	Market participants are aware of the informational requirements when they submit requests for use of a higher cost reference level profile. As a result, the IESO anticipates that two business days is sufficient preparation time. The IESO will continue to assess the appropriateness of this duration as more information comes to light about the nature and form of documentation that will be used to support such requests.	Mar 2022
7	Detailed Design and Interim Alignment	Some stakeholders are concerned that the price responsive load resource type cannot register as a demand response contributor.	Price Response Loads (PRLs) introduce a new participation option, designed primarily for Market Participants that would historically have registered their resources as non-dispatchable loads. By choosing to be registered as a PRL, these loads will be able to actively participate in the Day Ahead Market and be settled on the basis of LMP. The settlement treatment of PRLs is inconsistent with the settlement treatment of virtual HDR resources, in whose portfolios demand response contributors participate, which is why a participant cannot elect for their resource to both be registered as a PRL and participate as a demand response contributor. However, these participants are not required to register as PRLs in the new market; they may continue to register their resources as non-dispatchable loads, and as such continue to be eligible to participate as demand response contributors should they elect this participation option. PRLs therefore represent a new option for, rather than a restriction on, resources that would historically have registered as NDLs.	Oct 2021