

Memo

To: IMO
From: Lawrence Wu
CC:
Date: April 7, 2004
Re: Comments on the Transitional Demand Response Program – February 2004

I wish to thank you for the opportunity to respond to the important plan described in the Transitional Demand Response Program. I have the following comments.

1. **Eligibility**

It is not clear whether LDC (distributor) would be allowed to participate in this pilot as an aggregator. LDC should play an important role in the demand response program. For example, can a LDC split the IMO demand response payment with the load participants? This is an OEB issue but so far there is no guideline. IMO should work together with the OEB and the Ministry of Energy to work out the rules.

2. **Bid Size**

IMO should not cap the first stage of the program at 100 MW. If there is a need in the system to reduce load more than 100 MW, IMO should have the flexibility to do so. IMO can post on the IMO web site three hours in advance of a trading hour, the requested bid size and when the requested volume is filled, IMO can post the status on the web and stop accepting further notification for that trading hour. In using this approach there will be no need to set a 100 MW limit on the initial application.

3. **Participant Notice to the IMO**

It would be nice if participants are provided with a user ID and password for sending notice to IMO via the internet.

Lawrence Wu, P.Eng.

Energy Consultant