

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP | Deliverability Guidance Document | April 18, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Raquel Reyes

Title: Project Developer

Organization: GSI Management Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 5/2/2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Confidential".

Following the LT2 RFP Guidance Document webinar on April 18, 2024, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the items discussed during the session. The presentation material and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by May 3, 2024.

Guidance Document: Readability and Layout

Topic	Feedback
<p>Do you have any advice or feedback on the style, layout and overall readability of the April 2024 Deliverability Guidance Document released by the IESO?</p>	<p>GSI is advocating for the IESO to release comprehensive and accessible data for developers to utilize when evaluating sites for the upcoming procurement. A table listing over 150+ feeders and station names provides little to no information on where these desired or limited locations are situated. We recommend reviewing the AESO transmission system maps for reference and advocating for the IESO to achieve this for Ontario.</p> <p>Interactive Transmission Capability Map</p>

Guidance Document: Content

Topic	Feedback
<p>Are there any specific areas of the Deliverability Guidance Document that you would like to provide feedback on from a technical and/or content-specific point of view?</p> <p>If so, please be as specific as possible in your feedback and consider using page numbers and content title where possible to ensure the IESO can consider your feedback accurately</p>	<p>While the IESO provided a high-level map of “areas to avoid”, this should also be included in the proposed Transmission map that should be available to developers. Said map should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Areas to avoid. - Feeder names - Station names - MW capacity - Transmission boundaries - Areas that will require transmission/system upgrades
<p>Do you find the preliminary connection guidance information sufficient for your siting needs? If you feel more information is required, please be specific on what other information you would find useful.</p>	<p>Further information is required, such as a detailed heat map or interactive map to support developer siting efforts.</p>

General Comments/Feedback:

1. How will IESO want proponents to confirm that the site is not located on Class 1,2,3 Agricultural lands and at what stage? At bid submission? At contract signing/closing? Will the developer be required to run a soil survey to make such a determination or will a desktop analysis suffice?
2. What about Unclassified lands? Can we develop on these soils?

3. Will IESO be agreeable to utilizing Class 1,2,3 lands on otherwise unusable lands – i.e., Class 1,2,3, lands located within military bases or correctional facilities that cannot be farmed?
4. Will the IESO provide a higher scoring system to projects located on brownfield sites if agricultural lands will not be permitted?
5. Will the IESO also provide the soil classification on the proposes transmission heat map?