

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – June 9, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Jelena Payne

Title: Commissioner of Economic Development and Innovation

Organization: City of Windsor

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: June 20, 2022

Following the June 9th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the additional procurement mechanisms, as well as on proposed revenue streams.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by June 20, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Additional Mechanisms: Overview and Linkages

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the IESO's overview of the Additional Mechanisms (Expedited Process, Same-Technology Expansions, FCA) and the linkages between acquisition mechanism (e.g., Expedited Process and LT1 RFP, or LT1 RFP and LT2 RFP)	No comment

LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Mandatory Requirements and Rated Criteria

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the Mandatory Requirements and Rated Criteria proposed for the LT1 RFP and Expedited Process.	Please see general comments below

LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Proposed Contract Design

Topic	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the proposed contract design for the LT1 RFP and Expedited Process. The IESO welcomes feedback on the proposed approach for qualifying capacity as well as the proposed Capacity Payment Adjustment Mechanism.	No comment

LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Proposed Term Lengths

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the term length considerations proposed in addition to the incentive mechanism for the Expedited Process.	No comment

Deliverability Assessment

Topic	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the IESO's proposed process for deliverability testing and timelines.	No comment

Additional Acquisition Mechanisms: Same Technology Expansions

Topic	Feedback
Are the descriptions of the different kinds of upgrades/expansions clear and reflective of the options?	No comment
What are the interdependencies between the existing contract, any upgrades and on-site expansions that need to be considered?	No comment
Are any interdependencies missing/not fully captured?	No comment
What are the considerations for participating in the Expedited Process or LT1 RFP?	No comment
What other key considerations/risks need to be included to help ensure this initiative is successful?	No comment

Additional Acquisition Mechanisms: Forward Capacity Auction

Topic	Feedback
<p>Is expanding eligibility to variable generation, self-scheduling and co-located hybrid facilities in the FCA and ACA a priority for stakeholders?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 99)</p>	No comment

Topic	Feedback
<p>Any feedback and suggestions on how the performance assessment framework may need to be modified to reflect the design differences?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 106)</p>	<p>No comment</p>
<p>Any feedback on potential features that could be considered for the design of the FCA?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 108)</p>	<p>No comment</p>
<p>Is expanding eligibility to variable generation, self-scheduling and co-located hybrid facilities in the FCA and ACA a priority for stakeholders?</p>	<p>No comment</p>
<p>Any feedback and suggestions on how the performance assessment framework may need to be modified to reflect FCA design differences?</p>	<p>No comment</p>
<p>What other design features should be considered to increase the attractiveness of a Forward Capacity Auction as part of IESO's suite of acquisition mechanisms?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 110)</p>	<p>No comment</p>

General Comments/Feedback

The City of Windsor appreciates this opportunity to provide feedback to the IESO recognizing the imperative of ensuring long-term electricity supply to enable continued economic development. As the IESO identifies on Slide 35 of the June 9, 2022 presentation on the Expedited and LT 1 RFP, the West Transmission Zones are a high priority for new electricity supply. That said, we are concerned that the IESO's proposed rated criteria approach may be insufficient.

Specifically, we are concerned that the proposed rated criteria approach poses a risk that the required electricity supply will not be acquired through the Expedited RFP or Long-Term RFP processes. While rated criteria provide a signal to resource developers, they do not guarantee the required outcome of new electricity resources in the West. Based on the rated criteria, it is feasible

that projects outside the West Transmission Zones obtain higher evaluation scores and are awarded contracts ahead of projects located in the West Transmission zones.

We recommend that the IESO develop an evaluation framework that ensures that the required electricity resources are cleared within this high-priority zone. At minimum, the IESO should increase the number of rated criteria points that would be awarded to projects located within the West Transmission Zones.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. We are available to discuss further at the IESO's request.