

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP – July 21, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: David Oxtoby

Title: CEO

Organization: CarbonFree Technology Inc.

Email:

Date: August 2, 2022

Following the July 21<sup>st</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on: Municipal Council Support Resolution, Contract Design, Revised Timelines, and the Deliverability Test Guidance Document.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

**Please provide feedback by August 4, 2022 to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca).**

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP* . To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

## Municipal Council Support Resolution

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the IESO's proposal to change the Municipal Council Support Resolution from a mandatory requirement to a rated criteria.	

## Proposed Contract Design

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the potential use of indexing in the contracts and what indices (if any) may be best suited for these procurements.	

## LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Revised Timelines

Topic	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the proposed revised timelines and whether these seem appropriate.	Delaying the Expedited process contract award to February 28, 2023 is problematic for those projects requiring environmental studies to be conducted which focus on the springtime habits of birds or other species. Advancing the target date to January 31, 2023 would leave more time for contract winners to engage the appropriate consultants to conduct the required studies and to have the work started.

## Deliverability Test Guidance Document

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the Deliverability Test Guidance Document and associated form.	

## General Comments/Feedback

To minimize the cost to ratepayers for capacity proposals using Li-ion battery storage, rather than requesting a fixed four-hour capacity for the life of the contract it might be more cost-efficient to request a specific amount of capacity in Year 1 (e.g. 2025 for Expedited projects) with the capacity for subsequent years following the typical degradation curve for these kinds of batteries. This approach would help address the capacity shortfall forecast to start in 2025 at a lower cost than one which requires proponents to oversize a project at the outset and/or plan on adding additional batteries to the project over its lifetime to keep the rated capacity flat.