

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – August 17, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Brandon Kelly

Title: Senior Manager, Regulatory and Market Affairs

Organization: Northland Power Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: September 1, 2023

Following the August 17th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the changes to the rated criteria proposed in the meeting.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by September 1, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Changes to Rated Criteria: Indigenous Community Participation

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slide 20 with respect to Indigenous Community Participation? This includes the introduction of more granularity to the economic interest segments, as well as an increase overall to the total points available for Indigenous Community Participation.	

Changes to Rated Criteria: Local Governing Body Support

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slide 21 with respect to Local Governing Body Support? This includes increasing the Rated Criteria points for Local Governing Body Support to 4, as well as changing the evaluation criteria weighting in the formula in section 4.4(d)(iii) from 0.3 to 0.2.	

Changes to Indigenous Consultation (Duty to Consult)

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the new Development and Construction Covenant added to the draft LT1 Contract (s2.2e), as well as process outlined in the Ministry of Energy's draft Duty to Consult Delegation Letter template?	

Other or General Comments/Feedback:

Northland Power is seeking clarification on the following items related to Open Houses and Municipal Support Confirmations for LT1.

1. The IESO's draft RFP states that Municipal Support Confirmations (MSCs) in the form of a Municipal Support Resolution must be dated no later than February 17, 2023. The IESO also

stated in its August 17 presentation that it will, “accept Blanket Municipal Support Resolutions that were specifically passed to support both the E-LT1 and the LT1 RFPs”. These statements seem to be at odds considering the E-LT Rated Criteria incented proponents to receive MSCs prior to the bid submission deadline, which was February 16, 2023. For proponents that followed those incentives and received a blanket MSC for EL-T and LT1 prior to E-LT bid submission, their blanket MSCs have seemingly been invalidated by the IESO’s February 17, 2023 cutoff date. In the spirit of respecting the decisions of municipalities and not overburdening municipal processes, the IESO should accept blanket MSCs covering E-LT and LT1 dated any date following the official launch of the EL-T RFP.

2. It follows that the same consideration should be made for Open Houses held prior to February 17, 2023 that resulted in blanket MSCs for E-LT and LT1.
3. If a Project and its lands are solely situated in one Municipality, but that project land is bordering, or is very close to the border of, another municipality, are two Municipal Support Resolutions needed? Or are two Municipal Support Resolutions only needed if the Project and its lands span two different Municipalities?
4. In circumstances where a project was sold following the Open House and receipt of the MSC, such that the proponent at time of bid submission differs from the Open House host and recipient of the MSC, does the current project owner need to hold its own Open House and receive a separate MSC, or will the original Open House and MSC satisfy the IESO’s requirements?
5. Will an Open House and MSC satisfy the IESO’s requirements if they were conducted and received on behalf of the project by the parent company before that project was transferred to a subsidiary/SPV/project co? Alternatively, would the subsidiary/SPV/project co. need to reperform the Open House and seek a new MSC?