Feedback Form

Capacity Accreditation for IESO – Date, October 22nd 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Brandon Kelly

Title: Director, Regulatory and Market Affairs

Organization: Northland Power Inc.

Email: [redacted]

Date: October 28, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Capacity Accreditation for IESO engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- ☐ **Yes – there is confidential information, do not post**
- ☐X **No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the Capacity Accreditation for IESO October 22 2026, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the engagement webpage.

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca **by October 30th 2026.**

Transparency and Capacity Accreditation

Topic	Feedback
The IESO proposed three measures to provide transparency for stakeholders for use of the MRI capacity accreditation methodology. Are stakeholders supportive of these measures?	See below.
Beyond the three measures proposed, is there anything else you would like to see from the IESO to support transparency on capacity accreditation?	See below.

General Comments/Feedback

We support the IESO's efforts to modernize capacity accreditation and ensure that accreditation values appropriately reflect the marginal reliability contribution of different technologies as Ontario's supply mix evolves. An accreditation framework that accurately measures reliability value is essential to ensuring the IESO procures and relies upon resources that can effectively meet Ontario's reliability needs. Failure to do so risks procuring capacity that does not deliver the reliability benefits assumed in planning and procurement processes – a costly error.

We support the criteria the IESO has established for evaluating alternative accreditation methodologies. **We believe that the outcomes of the selected capacity accreditation process should directly inform future procurement design and evaluation, including LT2 Window 2, to ensure procurement outcomes remain aligned with system reliability objectives.**

Given the significance of assumptions regarding future gas-fired generation, we encourage the IESO to seek greater clarity from the Government of Canada and the Province regarding the applicability of the Clean Electricity Regulations to future operations of gas-fired facilities. It's notable that several provinces are proceeding on the basis that the regulations may not be implemented as currently drafted, including the regulation going into abeyance.

Finally, we support a well-defined, transparent, and regularly scheduled process for updating accreditation values. To promote confidence and predictability for market participants, the assumptions used in accreditation studies should be aligned with the IESO's broader planning activities, including the Annual Planning Outlook and related resource adequacy assessments. Publication of methodologies, key assumptions, results, and the drivers of material changes will help stakeholders understand and respond to evolving accreditation values.