

Feedback Form

Capacity Accreditation for IESO Procurements – Date, September 17th 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Ritesh Talati

Title: Sr. Analyst

Organization: Atura Power

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: October 01, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Capacity Accreditation for IESO Procurements engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.



Yes – there is confidential information, do not post



No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page

Following the Capacity Accreditation for IESO Procurements September 17 2026, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Capacity Accreditation for IESO Procurements](#).

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by October 1st 2026.

General Comments/Feedback

Atura appreciates the IESO's review of capacity accreditation methodologies and the opportunity to provide feedback. Capacity accreditation can play an important role in assessing the reliability contribution of resources and informing resource adequacy planning. A key consideration will be how the results of that assessment are translated into procurement targets and reflected in the procurement design.

Atura sees merit in the IESO's proposed Marginal Reliability Impact ("MRI") for capacity accreditation. Accreditation should reflect the contribution that different resources make to system reliability and help the IESO identify the resource attributes needed in future procurements.

Stakeholders should have sufficient information to understand how accreditation values are determined, including the key assumptions, inputs and results used by the IESO.

For LT2 Window 2, given the procurement timelines, the IESO may wish to use capacity accreditation to inform the procurement MW target and the reliability attributes to be secured, while keeping the procurement mechanism relatively simple. Where particular attributes are needed, separate procurement streams based on those attributes may be simpler to administer than a single stream with rated criteria. Each stream could remain technology agnostic, allowing any resource capable of meeting the applicable requirements to compete. A simpler procurement structure could provide clearer investment signals while allowing capacity accreditation to serve its broader role in reliability planning.

As the IESO advances the MRI methodology, Atura encourages the IESO to share the resulting accreditation values and their proposed application to LT2 Window 2 early enough for stakeholders to review and provide input on the procurement approach.