# Feedback Form

## Capacity Auction Enhancements – August 25 & 26, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Organization: Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.

Following the August 25 and 26 sessions on the Capacity Auction Enhancements, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the information presented at those two respective sessions that are outlined in the table below.

The meeting materials from these sessions can be found on the [Capacity Auction Enhancements engagement initiative](https://ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Capacity-Auction-Enhancements).

**Please provide feedback by September 9, 2022 to** **engagement@ieso.ca****.**

This feedback will be posted on the Capacity Auction Enhancements engagement webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

### Draft Engagement Plan – 2023 Capacity Auction Enhancements

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide any feedback on the draft Engagement Plan, specifically with respect to the approach and design topics included in the plan |  |

### Engagement Topic 1.0 - Qualification: Non-HDR Resources

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide any feedback on Design Memo 1.0 - Capacity Qualification (Non-HDR) |  |

### Engagement Topic 2.0 – Performance Assessment: Testing Framework

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide any feedback on Design Memo 2.0 – Testing Framework |  |

### Engagement Topic 3.0 – Performance Assessment: Charges/True-ups

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide any feedback on Design Memo 3.0 – Charges & True-ups |  |

### Engagement Topic 4.0 – Hourly Demand Response Standby Trigger Review

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide any feedback on the proposed scope of the hourly demand response (HDR) standby trigger review. General feedback is also welcome. |  |

### Engagement Topic 5.0 – Qualification: HDR Resources (Standby Charge)

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Discussion with stakeholders during the August 26 Technical Session indicated stakeholder support for the use of a charge or penalty that would apply within the relevant obligation period, as a method for HDR resources to “self de-rate” their capacity in lieu of an availability de-rate. Do stakeholders support investigating further options for a charge/penalty that would apply within the obligation period as a next step for discussion on the HDR capacity qualification methodology? |  |
| Stakeholders are invited to submit suggestions on potential options for this availability charge/penalty. IESO will compare stakeholder proposals (including a proposal to double the existing availability charge) with the current proposal of a standby availability charge that involves a multiplier of 5x during peak months of the auction year, limited to 25 standby events per obligation period. This comparison of options will be presented to stakeholders for discussion and comment at the September engagement and technical sessions. |  |

### Engagement Topic 6.0 – HDR Performance Thresholds

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do stakeholders support the IESO further pursuing the proposed solution of removing contributors on forced outages from the HDR baseline methodology and presenting the proposal at an upcoming engagement session?   |  |
| If the proposed solution addresses stakeholder concerns about contributors on forced outages unfairly impacting measurement within the HDR baseline methodology, are there any further issues stakeholders have with the capacity test performance assessment threshold changes enhancement? |  |
| Do stakeholders have any initial feedback regarding the high-level proposed solution?  |  |

### Engagement Topic 7.0 – Demand Curve Review

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do stakeholders support the proposed scope of the demand curve review?  |  |
| Are there other aspects of the demand curve that should be addressed in the review? |  |

### Engagement Topic 8.0 – Forward Capacity Auction (FCA) Design

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Based on the clarifications regarding the intent, design, and pros and cons of the FCA commitment length options presented, do stakeholders continue to support a single auction for a multi-year commitment for the FCA, or is there support for 3 sequential auctions each with a one year commitment? |  |
| The IESO is requesting further elaboration from stakeholders on the specific risks, limiting factors or general preferences associated with holding a capacity auction (FCA and/or ACA) earlier in the year during the summer months as opposed to the current end of year timing. |  |

### General Comments/Feedback