# Feedback Form

## Capacity Auction – July 25, 2024

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Organization: Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Capacity Auction Enhancements engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark “Confidential”.

Following the Capacity Auction Enhancements Webinar on July 25, 2024, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback and comments from stakeholders on the items discussed. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](https://www.ieso.ca/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Capacity-Auction-Enhancements).

**Please submit feedback to** engagement@ieso.ca **by August 8, 2024.**

###

### Winter Testing Results

| Topic | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do you have any comments regarding the winter 2023-2024 testing results?  |  |

### 2024 Enhancement Updates

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic  | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the enhancement updates for 2024?  |  |

### Commitment Management Options – Physical-Virtual Obligation Transfers

| Question | Response |
| --- | --- |
| The Capacity Auction team proposes enabling transfers between physical and virtual resource types.1. Is this something that would be of benefit to participants?
2. To what extent would this reduce the need to buy-out of commitments?
 |  |

### Commitment Management Options – New Dispatchable Load Registration

| Question | Response |
| --- | --- |
| The IESO proposes to formalize an existing solution that can be used by participants to avoid having to buy-out of their obligation. At the time of capacity qualification, a participant would submit two qualification requests and two auction deposits: one for the existing non-dispatchable load resource participating as a physical HDR, and one for the future dispatchable load resource. 1. Is this something that would be of benefit to participants?
2. To what extent would this reduce the need to buy-out of commitments?
 |  |

### Commitment Management Options – Review of Current Buy-out Charge

| Question | Response |
| --- | --- |
| The IESO proposes to re-assess and modify the buy-out charge to more accurately reflect the cost of procuring capacity in the auction. The proposal is to revise the buy-out charge to match the availability payment that would have been earned by the participant for the obligation amount that is being bought out.Do you have any feedback on how this might impact future Capacity Auction participation? |  |

### Commitment Management Options – Review Deposit and Forfeiture Rules

| Question | Response |
| --- | --- |
| Regarding the proposed revisions to the deposit calculation and forfeiture rule, do stakeholders have any feedback on the following: How might the proposed changes outlined on slide 47 of the July [engagement presentation](https://ieso.ca/-/media/Files/IESO/Document-Library/engage/cae/cae-20240725-engagement-presentation.pdf) impact future Capacity Auction participation? |  |

### Expanding Participation – Purpose and Overview

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic  | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the purpose and/or overview of this enhancement?  |  |

### Expanding Participation – Resource Eligibility and Capacity Qualification

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic  | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the proposed eligibility for variable generation (VG) resources? |  |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the proposed capacity qualification methodology for variable generation (VG) resources? |  |
| Is there any part of the [MT1 qualification methodology](https://www.ieso.ca/-/media/Files/IESO/Document-Library/medium-term-rfp/Medium-Term-QC-Guidance-Document.pdf) for eligible variable generation (VG) that should be changed/enhanced for use in the Capacity Auction? |  |
| Does the proposed eligible VG qualification methodology accurately represent the resource adequacy contributions wind and solar resources provide to the system at times of peak? |  |

### Expanding Participation – Obligation Periods and Availability Windows

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic  | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the proposal that availability windows, obligation periods, and commitment period can remain unchanged for eligible variable generation (VG) resources? |  |

Expanding Participation – Capacity Testing and PAF Delivered MWs

| Question | Response |
| --- | --- |
| Are any of the proposed testing requirements incompatible with the performance capabilities of eligible VG resources? If so, please indicate which testing requirements cannot be met and why they are incompatible with eligible VG resources. |  |
| Do you have any suggestions on alternative testing requirements that are feasible for eligible VG resources to prove their maximum capability? |  |

Expanding Participation – Dispatch Testing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Question | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the proposal that eligible VG resources may not be required to complete dispatch testing to prove their ability to follow dispatch instructions? |  |

Expanding Participation – Settlement Charges

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Question | Response |
| Do you have any feedback regarding the proposal that settlement charge types applicable to Capacity Auction generation resources can also be applicable to eligible VG resources? |  |
| Do you believe that eligible VG resources may require different settlement treatment from what is currently applicable to Capacity Auction generation resources? |  |

### General Comments/Feedback