

Energy Efficiency Auction Pilot – Draft Detailed Design

IESO responses to questions not answered during March 23 webinar

On March 23rd, the IESO presented the draft detailed design for the Energy Efficiency Auction Pilot. The IESO summarized stakeholder feedback on the draft high-level design, discussed changes/clarifications to final high-level design, and walked stakeholders through the auction participation process start-to-finish, highlighting draft requirements and particular areas where IESO is seeking feedback.

A number of questions were asked during the webinar, a recording of which can be found [here](#). There were a handful of questions asked on the webinar that the IESO did not have a chance to provide a response to. In the table below, the IESO has provided these questions and the IESO's response.

Stakeholder questions and IESO responses

Question	IESO Response
Is this pilot intended to replace the IESO Retrofit "Save-ON" programs? Seems it's aimed at paying for similar measures which reduce peak loading.	<p>The IESO currently has a mandate to deliver the Save on Energy programs to the end of 2020 under the Interim Energy Efficiency (EE) Framework and is working with the provincial government to establish a Framework for supporting cost-effective EE after 2020.</p> <p>The purpose of the pilot is not to replace the Retrofit program (or other traditional standard offer incentive programs), but rather to explore an alternative model for procuring energy efficiency to help inform long-term options for enabling EE to compete to meet Ontario's system needs.</p>

Question	IESO Response
<p>Providing financial statements will be an issue for our Parent company (PepsiCo) so perhaps for publically traded companies this could be waived?</p>	<p>The financial statements prepared by a certified accounting professional that publicly traded companies must make available to shareholders on an annual basis would qualify. This would include the annual reports that PepsiCo makes available on its website.</p>
<p>Would each additional measure have to have an Effective Useful Life (EUL) greater than the weighted average EUL of the original measures? Or if the weighted average EUL of the new measures is greater than the weighted average EUL of the original measures, is that ok?</p>	<p>The latter. The weighted average EUL of the new measures must equal or exceed the weighted average EUL of the original measure(s) stated in the accepted EE Resource Plan, but each additional measure is not required to have an EUL meeting or exceeding the EUL of the original measure(s).</p>
<p>In the draft Detailed Design requirements there are different meter data requirements for small businesses compared to larger businesses. What is the definition of a small business?</p>	<p>Small business is defined as a business customer with a peak demand of less than 50 kW. These customers, unless they have entered into an arrangement with an electricity retailer, are subject to the OEB's Regulated Price Plan (i.e. are on Time-of-Use rates if they have a smart meter).</p>

Please note that the information and responses provided by the IESO herein are for information and discussion purposes only and are not binding on the IESO. This document does not constitute, nor should it be construed to constitute, legal advice or a guarantee, representation or warranty on behalf of the IESO. In the event that there is any conflict or inconsistency between this document and the Market Rules, Market Manuals or any IESO contract, including any amendments thereto, the terms in the Market Rules, Market Manuals or contract, as applicable, govern.