

Feedback Form - Public

Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot – July, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Islam Elsayed

Title: Senior Advisor, Government Affairs

Organization: Enbridge Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2023

Following the focused consultation sessions with potential pilot participants, the IESO is seeking feedback on a number of questions related to initial design elements of the Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot.

Please provide feedback by July 26, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject header: *Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot*.

To promote transparency, your responses in this public feedback form will be posted on the [Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot webpage](#), unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you would like to submit feedback confidentially, please use the additional feedback form labeled as 'Confidential'.

The IESO will consider and work to incorporate comments, as appropriate, and provide responses at a follow-up session with potential pilot participants in August 2023. Thank you for your valuable contribution to the consultation process.

Public Feedback: Specific Questions

Please note: Responses in this section will be posted on the Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot engagement webpage.

Topic	Feedback
How likely are you to participate in an H2 IRP and why?	Very likely. Overall, the proposed H2 IRP offers benefits that will ensure greater cost stability and, as a result, investment confidence, all while helping balance the grid and contributing to the overall stability of the energy system.
Which design features on slide 6 are most likely to impact your decision to participate? Do the options provided make sense for H2 producers?	<p>Duration and GA charge amount. For the H2 IRP to make sense, the economics need to make sense. It is recommended to increase the duration as much as possible to ensure certainty of clean energy prices (Slide 6 states Up to 10 years).</p> <p>Quick operational response of the hydrogen plant to market conditions is a competitive advantage of the facility and, as such, those options that revolve around operation are easily achievable.</p>
With respect to the other support options on slide 7: a) Which of the other presented support options (e.g., CECs, RET), if any, would be valuable to include in/alongside an H2 IRP and why? b) Are there particular approaches to the deployment of these options that would make the pilot more beneficial for participants and other ratepayers?	<p>a) Both options would increase the value of the H2 IRP (CECs & RET). These options increase clean energy data availability, help ensure access to clean energy, increase certainty of clean energy prices, and support certification of clean/green hydrogen.</p> <p>b) Clear communication and training of how to utilize the CECs and RET would be welcomed. The sooner RET data is made available in advance to a 'Go-Live' would also be appreciated.</p>

Topic	Feedback
Are there any other design options the IESO should consider and why?	N/A

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any comments you may have on the potential activities and timelines on slide 10. Are the timelines realistic and achievable?	The hydrogen market is rapidly changing. Any changes that can offer long-term contract certainty and reduce risk are welcomed and should be implemented sooner rather than later.

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any further feedback for consideration in the development of an H2 IRP?	N/A

General Comments/Feedback

Enbridge commends the IESO's work on the initial design elements of the Hydrogen Interruptible Rate Pilot and appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback and recommendations. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Islam Elsayed, Senior Advisor, Government Affairs [REDACTED].