

Feedback Form

Industrial Energy Efficiency Program – June 23, 2021

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Michael Kuriychuk

Title: President

Organization: Pathchoice Energy Consulting Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 13, 2021

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Industrial Energy Efficiency Program webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Please provide feedback by July 14, 2021 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject:

Feedback: Industrial Energy Efficiency Program

For context, the questions below are taken from slides 22 to 25 from the June 23, 2021 [presentation](#) posted on the [engagement webpage](#).

General

Topic	Feedback
Are there any key areas of interest and/or concern on the draft program proposal and framework presented today?	Click or tap here to enter text.

Participant Eligibility

Topic	Feedback
Should the program allow for aggregation of projects at different sites by the same participant?	Yes, however, benefits to the grid should be assessed at individual sites and the client should be allowed to modify the project if any sites are disallowed.

Topic	Feedback
Should third parties be allowed to submit projects if they have the necessary authorization to deliver?	Click or tap here to enter text.

Project Eligibility

Topic	Feedback
What questions do you have about technologies or projects that would be eligible under this program, given the definition of CDM?	The comment about "not 'one for one' replacements of existing equipment [...]" should be clarified to permit replacement of process modules that perform the same function but at an improved efficiency.

Topic	Feedback
Are the minimum size and maximum incentive award thresholds reasonable?	

Topic	Feedback
What should be considered when enabling stacking of incentives?	Click or tap here to enter text.

Project Selection Framework

Topic	Feedback
Does the two-stage project selection framework presented today support or challenge your internal business processes?	Internal business processes are supported by the two-stage framework. It will allow companies to test the suitability of project concepts without incurring the large costs of developing a full proposal at the outset.
Topic	Feedback
Are there any related key considerations that the IESO should consider in developing the two stages? Any required timing?	Suggest at least two months be allowed between program launch and submission deadline for Stage 1.
Topic	Feedback
What are some key outputs from a stage I approval that would help facilitate your business processes?	It would facilitate business processes to be reasonably assured that after having passed Stage 1 a project would not be disqualified in Stage 2 solely because the program has been oversubscribed in the first round. Some flexibility in program funding between the first program round (2022) and the second round (2023) would help this goal.
Topic	Feedback
What are considerations that should be applied to the weighting of selection criteria? Are there additional criteria IESO should consider?	Click or tap here to enter text.

General Comments/Feedback

Depending on the uptake of this two-round program, the IESO might consider a third round with smaller projects, for example, 1,500 MWh and 200 kW to more fully exhaust the list of potential projects.