Feedback Form - Public

Interruptible Rate Pilot: Initial Design Elements – October, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Lynn Girard

Title: Mill Manager

Organization: IAMGOLD - Cote Gold

Email:

Date: October 31, 2022

Following the focused consultation sessions with potential pilot participants, the IESO is seeking feedback on a number of questions related to initial design elements of the Interruptible Rate Pilot.

Please provide feedback by November 1, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject header: Interruptible Rate Pilot.

To promote transparency, your responses in this public feedback form will be posted on the <u>Interruptible Rate Pilot webpage</u>, unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you would like to submit feedback confidentially, please use the additional feedback form labeled as 'Confidential'.

The IESO will consider and work to incorporate comments, as appropriate, and provide responses at a follow-up session with potential pilot participants in November 2022. Thank you for your valuable contribution to the consultation process.



Public Feedback: Specific Questions

Please note: Responses in this section will be posted on the Interruptible Rate Pilot engagement webpage.

Торіс	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the draft eligibility criteria and interruption process, including in particular the following square bracketed parameters that are contained in the IESO's consultation deck: On slide 9, re: draft eligibility criteria: - have peak demand of at least [5] MW - have the capability to interrupt at least [20-50%] of its peak demand for four hours - have a maximum of [20-50] MW of curtailable demand On slide 11, re: interruption events: - subject to a maximum of [40-100] interruption hours and [10-20] events per year On slide 13, re: contract demand dead-band: - If actual demand is greater/lower than a [±5%] dead-band around the contract demand, then non-performance/incentive rates would apply	 IAMGOLD encourages the IESO to clarify the term "existing as of May 2022" to include facilities that currently exist but may not be a market participant/registered facilities as of May 2022. The IESO's current draft suggests interruptions between 40 – 100 hours and 10-20 events per year. From IAMGOLD's perspective, this many interruptions hours/ events are not competitive against what already occurs in the ICI program. IAMGOLD, therefore, suggests the IESO to consider setting these hours at no more than half the numbers currently proposed. The IESO should also give some consideration to the number of interruption hours per event. As an example, 2-3 hours per event would be friendly for most industrial operations.
Please provide feedback on the five rate design options that Brattle presented. Which options do you prefer and why? What options are you the most opposed to and why? Do you prefer the use of a "fixed" (i.e., constant throughout the pilot) or "floating" (i.e., changing based on monthly Global Adjustment) pilot settlement?	 IAMGOLD prefers to see a simple rate approach with minimal inputs. We prefer the presented options in this order: Option #1, 4, 5, 2 and 3. IAMGOLD most prefers Option #1. IAMGOLD most opposes Option #3. IAMGOLD prefers a "floating" settlement-based pilot in order to maximize the chances that the pilot is close to real world constraints the IESO will subsequently face in administering such a program.
Please provide any feedback on the proposed method of exiting the pilot (as described on slide 14 of the IESO's consultation deck)?	- No comment.

Торіс	Feedback
Do the tentative project timelines work for you to participate in the pilot (see slide 7 of the IESO's consultation deck)?	 IAMGOLD encourages the IESO to consider running the pilot with staggered load limits, thereby allowing facilities to increase their commitment after year 1.

Public Feedback: General Comments

Click or tap here to enter text.