

Feedback Form - Public

Interruptible Rate Pilot: Initial Design Elements – October, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Francois Abdelnour

Title: Energy Director

Organization: Ivaco Rolling Mills

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 2022-11-02

Following the focused consultation sessions with potential pilot participants, the IESO is seeking feedback on a number of questions related to initial design elements of the Interruptible Rate Pilot.

Please provide feedback by November 1, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject header: *Interruptible Rate Pilot*.

To promote transparency, your responses in this public feedback form will be posted on the [Interruptible Rate Pilot webpage](#), unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you would like to submit feedback confidentially, please use the additional feedback form labeled as 'Confidential'.

The IESO will consider and work to incorporate comments, as appropriate, and provide responses at a follow-up session with potential pilot participants in November 2022. Thank you for your valuable contribution to the consultation process.

Public Feedback: Specific Questions

Please note: Responses in this section will be posted on the Interruptible Rate Pilot engagement webpage.

Topic	Feedback
<p>Please provide feedback on the draft eligibility criteria and interruption process, including in particular the following square bracketed parameters that are contained in the IESO's consultation deck:</p> <p>On slide 9, re: draft eligibility criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - have peak demand of at least [5] MW - have the capability to interrupt at least [20-50%] of its peak demand for four hours - have a maximum of [20-50] MW of curtailable demand <p>On slide 11, re: interruption events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - subject to a maximum of [40-100] interruption hours and [10-20] events per year <p>On slide 13, re: contract demand dead-band:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If actual demand is greater/lower than a [$\pm 5\%$] dead-band around the contract demand, then non-performance/incentive rates would apply 	<p>On slide 9, we agree with stated eligibility criteria</p> <p>On Slide 10, we agree with option for participants to commit to short-notice interruptions, providing interruptions do not exceed 1-2 hours</p> <p>On Slide 11, more than 40 hours per year of interruptions is excessive. Also, more than 10 events per year is excessive.</p> <p>On Slide 12, Demand of 21,000 MW is too low. Also, HOEP of \$100/MWH is too low. We also agree with the last paragraph.</p> <p>On Slide 13, we agree with the dead band value</p>
<p>Please provide feedback on the five rate design options that Brattle presented. Which options do you prefer and why? What options are you the most opposed to and why?</p> <p>Do you prefer the use of a "fixed" (i.e., constant throughout the pilot) or "floating" (i.e., changing based on monthly Global Adjustment) pilot settlement?</p>	<p>Our preferred rate design is option 1, mainly for simplicity. Not sure we completely understood the other options. We oppose option 3, it has less control and higher cost.</p> <p>We prefer floating pilot settlement</p>
<p>Please provide any feedback on the proposed method of exiting the pilot (as described on slide 14 of the IESO's consultation deck)?</p>	<p>Agree</p>
<p>Do the tentative project timelines work for you to participate in the pilot (see slide 7 of the IESO's consultation deck)?</p>	<p>The timeline is condensed, but achievable.</p>

Public Feedback: General Comments

Because of the condensed timeline, changes should be kept to a minimum