

Feedback Form

Local Generation Program – April 23, 2025

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Mila Simon

Title: Sr. Project Coordinator

Organization: Solarbank Corporation

Existing contract number (if applicable): Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: May 9, 2025

Following the April 23, 2025 webinar to provide information on the Local Generation Program (LGP) and the high-level design of the program, the IESO is seeking feedback on the high-level design of the recontracting stream of the LGP

The referenced presentation and supporting materials can be found under the April 23, 2025 entry on the [Local Generation Program webpage](#).

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Updates to IESO Monitoring Requirements: Phasor Data engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Yes" below:

- ☐ Yes – there is confidential information, do not post
- ☒ No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page

Please provide feedback by May 9, 2025 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject: *Feedback: Local Generation Program.*

Specific Questions for Existing Facilities / Suppliers:

Timing and logistical issues in recontracting

1. How long before the expiration of your existing contract could you confidently submit a price (\$/MWh) to continue operation of the facility after the contract expires?

- ☐ 1 year
- ☐ 2 years
- ☐ 3 years
- ☐ 4 years
- ☐ 5 years
- ☐ More than 5 years

2. In the case of recontracting, would you prefer (multiple choice):

- ☐ For my new contract to start immediately after the old contract expires; or
- ☐ To be able to propose a new contract term start date; or
- ☐ Something else (please provide details)

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

3. Do you anticipate any need to shut down your facility temporarily when the existing contract expires?

- ☐ Yes

If yes, for how long?

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

- ☐ No
- ☐ Not sure

If not sure, what additional information do you need?

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

4. Do you anticipate any need to shut down your facility permanently when the existing contract expires?

- ☒ Yes

If yes, what is the reason?

Click or tap here to enter text.

- ☐ No
- ☐ Not sure

If not sure, what additional information do you need?

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. What risks and or challenges do you anticipate around being able to recontract your existing facility to supply electricity?

Click or tap here to enter text.

Refurbishments, upgrades and expansions

6. Are you planning to refurbish, upgrade or expand your facility?

Click or tap here to enter text.

- a. If you are planning to change your facility, when would you want to do that?

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Do you intend to increase your installed capacity or keep it the same as the existing capacity? Please describe why it might remain the same or change.

Click or tap here to enter text.

8. Do you know if your connection point and or local circuits could support an expansion or upgrade? Please provide details.

Click or tap here to enter text.

9. What risks and or challenges do you anticipate around refurbishing / upgrading or expanding your facility?

Click or tap here to enter text.

Other Comments/Feedback

Topic: High Level Program Design	Feedback
<u>For Recontract with existing projects:</u>	Bidding category should be separated between small and large scale. Therefore, should be a separate category for evaluation with size of 500kW or less.
<u>New Build:</u>	<p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gas fired generations should not be eligible to bid in New Build category, we are in climate crisis now and the global trend for energy is transiting to clean/green• Contract term of 25 years. Financials works better than 20 yrs term, will be able to reduce the PPA if with 25 yr term• Rooftop should have additional rating points in evaluations, for the reasons mentioned above.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.

General Comments/Feedback

With the Supplier's commercial reasonable efforts, unable to obtain permitting from AHJ, interconnection approval with LDC, or interconnection or procurement costs are materially higher than Supplier's est, should be deemed as Force Majeure and allow the Supplier to exist without penalty. All the Security Deposits should be returned to the Supplier.