

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP (Window 2) Community Webinar – July 8, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Don Richardson

Title: CEO

Organization: Minogi Corp., Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 22, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP Community Engagement webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the July 8, 2026, Long-Term 2 RFP – Window 2 Webinar for Communities, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback. A copy of the presentations as well as recordings of the sessions can be accessed from the IESO engagement web page.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by July 22, 2026.

July 22, 2026

Attn: Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO)

Re: LT2 – Window 2 Written Comments (Indigenous Community Submission)

Submitted by: Minogi Corp., Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation (MSIFN)

To the IESO Engagement Team,

On behalf of the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation (MSIFN), Minogi as a wholly owned economic development corporation of MSIFN, appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the LT2 Window 2 procurement design following the July 8, 2026 engagement session for municipalities and Indigenous communities. We submit the following comments to support a more effective, rights-based, and community-aligned procurement process.

Topic	Feedback
How can the IESO better support municipalities in making informed decisions about proposed projects? Are there specific tools, resources, or types of information that would be most helpful?	Click or tap here to enter text.
Do you have any considerations or input to share regarding the key updates for LT2-RFP Window 2?	Click or tap here to enter text.
What are your perspectives on the requirements and procurement timeline for projects applying for LT2-RFP window?	Click or tap here to enter text.

General Comments/Feedback

1. Indigenous Participation & Municipal Support Confirmation (MSC)

MSIFN requests that the IESO clarify how First Nation governments are expected to participate in the Municipal Support Confirmation (MSC) process, particularly where:

- Municipal boundaries overlap with First Nation traditional territories;
- Municipal decisions may affect Indigenous rights, land use, or cumulative impacts;
- Municipal support may be withheld for reasons unrelated to project merit or rights-holding First Nation participation.

Recommendation: IESO should establish an Indigenous Support Confirmation (ISC) mechanism parallel to the MSC, ensuring that First Nation governments have a formal, recognized role in project siting and community acceptance.

2. Rated Criteria – Indigenous Participation

Minogi supports strengthening rated criteria for Indigenous participation. Window 1 demonstrated inconsistent application and limited transparency.

Recommendations:

- Increase rated criteria points for First Nation rights-holder equity participation, procurement participation, and long-term benefit agreements.
- Require proponents to demonstrate verifiable Indigenous procurement, not aspirational commitments.
- Require proponents to disclose First Nation rights-holder partnership structures early in the process.

3. Deliverability Guidance & Pre-Deliverability Testing

Communities need clearer guidance on how deliverability constraints affect project siting and cumulative impacts.

Recommendations:

- Provide deliverability maps and constraints in a community-friendly format.
- Ensure rights-holder First Nation communities receive deliverability results at the same time as proponents.
- Clarify how deliverability interacts with First Nation land use, rights, and environmental considerations.

4. Domestic Content Requirements

Minogi supports domestic content requirements but notes that they must not inadvertently exclude rights-holder First Nation businesses.

Recommendations:

- Include rights-holder First Nation-owned businesses within domestic content definitions.
- Allow rights-holder First Nation procurement to count toward domestic content scoring.

5. Exclusion Criteria

Minogi supports exclusion criteria for proponents not in good standing, as noted in stakeholder feedback.

Recommendation:

- Ensure exclusion criteria apply equally to proponents who fail to meet First Nation engagement or benefit obligations.

6. E-PPA Design (DA–RT Adjustments)

Changes to the DARTA mechanism may affect project revenue stability.

Recommendation:

- Provide clear modelling examples showing how DA–RT adjustments affect Indigenous equity partners.
- Ensure rights-holder First Nation partners are not disproportionately exposed to market volatility.

7. Proposal Fees

Minogi is concerned that increased proposal fees may discourage smaller First Nation-led proponents.

Recommendation:

- Establish reduced fees or fee waivers for First Nation-led projects or partnerships.

8. Agrivoltaics & Land Use

Feedback to the IESO indicates concerns about Prime Agricultural Area restrictions.

Recommendation:

- Ensure agrivoltaic eligibility rules consider First Nation land stewardship practices and traditional ecological knowledge.

Conclusion

Minogi looks forward to continued engagement with the IESO and expects that LT2 Window 2 will incorporate stronger rights-holder First Nation participation mechanisms, clearer deliverability guidance, and procurement pathways that support Indigenous economic development.

Respectfully submitted,

Don Richardson,
CEO, Minogi Corp.