

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP Community Engagement: September 20, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Brian Hillman

Title: Director Development Services

Organization: Town of Tecumseh

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: October 6, 2022

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP Community Engagement Webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the Long-Term RFP Community Engagement discussion session held on September 20, 2022, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback as outlined during the presentation from municipalities. A copy of the presentation as well as a recording of the session that includes an overview of the feedback request, can be accessed from the [engagement webpage](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by October 7, 2022.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

## Proposed Community Engagement Requirements

| Topic   | Feedback   |
|---|--|
| <p>Are there any suggested modifications to be considered in the draft Community Engagement Requirements – which can be found in the "<a href="#">Draft E-LT1 Prescribed Form: Community Engagement Requirements</a>"? This document, along with all the other draft prescribed forms are now available on the <a href="#">IESO's Long-Term RFP and Expedited Process</a> webpage. Please refer to slide 14 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>Does this approach present any opportunities or challenges to municipalities?</p> | <p>The approach should prescribe that adequate information under reasonable timeframes is made available for public consumption regarding potential impacts of the energy project (i.e. compatibility issues including noise, dust, traffic, odour, scale, etc.).</p> <p>The extent of the distribution of the notice to surrounding land owners seems inadequate and there is no prescribed timeframe for the delivery of this notice. The notification process should align with Planning Act requirements for the giving of notice for Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments (i.e. within 120 metres and 20 days prior to meeting being held).</p> <p>Depending on the scale of the project, one public meeting may not be adequate.</p> |

## Proposed Municipal Council Support Resolution

| Topic  | Feedback  |
|--|---|
| <p>Are there any updates or modifications to be considered in the draft Municipal Council Support Resolution – which can be found in the "<a href="#">Draft E-LT1 Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support</a>"? This document, along with all the other draft prescribed forms are now available on the <a href="#">IESO's Long-Term RFP and Expedited Process</a> webpage. Please refer to slides 12, 13 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>Does this approach present any opportunities or challenges to municipalities?</p> <p>How does the timing and concept of a support resolution align with other existing municipal decision-making processes?</p> | <p>Seeking a Council resolution in advance of Planning Act process (i.e. Official Plan or Zoning By-law Amendment) is procedurally problematic for a municipality and could be perceived as prejudicing Council's decision making. Accordingly, alignment between the IESO E-LT1 and Planning Act processes should be undertaken.</p> |

## Guidance Materials for Municipalities

| Topic   | Feedback  |
|---|---|
| <p>What other specific information can the IESO include in the guidance municipalities may require? Please refer to slides 20 - 23 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>How can this information be best delivered to municipal audiences?</p> <p>What information do municipalities need as they process requests from proponents and/or inform constituents within your communities?</p> | <p>Further direction on the locational requirements for various types and scales of energy projects would be helpful.</p> |

### General Comments/Feedback:

It would be of assistance if the IESO could provide direction on crafting official plan policies and zoning provisions to support these energy projects.

Consideration should be given to revising the scoring system to factor in land use desirability. For instance, projects that do not require an OPA/ZBA or that do not occupy prime agricultural lands should be given higher priority.