

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – July 4, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Ameena Narang

Title: Senior Analyst, Origination

Organization: Invenergy

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 18 July, 2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Confidential".

Following the LT2 RFP July 4, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the LT RFP [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by July 19, 2024.

MT2 RFP

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback regarding resource eligibility under the MT2 RFP?	No comments.
Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposed method of determining the procurement target for the MT2 RFP?	No comments.
Do you have any feedback regarding the contract structure or term length under the MT2 RFP?	No comments.
Do you have any feedback regarding capacity qualification for the MT2 RFP?	No comments.
Do you have any feedback regarding proposal submission fees and proposal security requirements for the MT2 RFP?	No comments.
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the IESO's proposed method of proposal evaluation under the MT2 RFP	No comments.

LT2 RFP Capacity Stream

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the capacity stream under the LT2 RFP?	No comments

LT2 RFP Proposal Evaluation

Commented [NA1]: @Joshi, Raj I believe you had a comment you wanted to add

Commented [JR2R1]: Do we want to put something in this regard " Given the tight deadline for project submissions, proponents have already begun the site selection process. However, there is a lack of clarity regarding the deliverability test evaluation and associated guidance. In light of this, we kindly request that the IESO provide information on two key points: The expected timeline for releasing the second iteration of the IESO guidance document. Any updates on the deliverability assessments methodology under LT2. This information would be invaluable in assisting proponents to make informed decisions and better align their efforts with IESO requirements and timelines."

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the IESO's proposed method of proposal evaluation under the LT2 RFP?	<p>Considering the potential submission of "3 size laminations", are proponents permitted to submit 3 project configurations with varying points of interconnection (POIs) to the IESO grid?</p> <p>Given the tight deadline for project submissions, proponents have already begun the site selection process. However, there continues to be a lack of clarity regarding the deliverability test evaluation and associated guidance. In light of this, we kindly request that the IESO provide information on two key points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The expected timeline for releasing the second iteration of the IESO guidance document. - Any updates on the deliverability assessments methodology under LT2. <p>This information would be invaluable in assisting proponents to make informed decisions and better align their efforts with IESO requirements and timelines.</p>

LT2 RFP Proposal Security

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the proposal security requirements under the LT2 RFP?	<p>The proposed proposal security requirement appear to be in line with what we have seen in other procurements.</p> <p>We would like to understand, in the case a proponent is unsuccessful, on what basis the security would be refunded and on what basis the IESO would retain the security.</p>

General Comments/Feedback

We would like more information on who will retain the Environmental Attributes produced by facilities.

