

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – September 12, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Mike Brugge

Title: Managing Partner

Organization: Ferma Energy

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: September 16, 2024

Following the LT2 RFP September 12, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term RFP \(ieso.ca\)](#).

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark “Yes” below:

- Yes – there is confidential information, do not post
- No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by September 27, 2024.

LT2 RFP Deliverability Guidance – Update

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the updated Preliminary Connection Guidance document?	It has been very helpful although late in the development process.
Do you have any feedback to share on the procedure to request access to the transmission system map or process to request pre-submission consultations?	No.
Do you have any feedback on the types of information that you would like to see in the LT2 Capacity RFP Guidance Document?	Very similar information to the Energy RFP Guidance Document.

LT2 RFP Design – Policy Considerations

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share on the policy considerations outlined in the August 29, 2024, letter from the Minister of Energy and Electrification to the IESO?	<p>Regarding Minister Lecce’s request to include a deliverability treatment for LT2 proposals, we’d like the IESO to speak about their considerations, as this point seemed to be left unspoken during the engagement.</p> <p>Regarding previous policy considerations, we continue to wonder why rules around siting would not be extended to all forms of generation.</p>

Draft LT2 Energy RFP and Contract

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback regarding the recently posted LT2(e) RFP?	<p>The definition for Prime Ag Areas points to other documents and is very vague (including areas associated with a local concentration of farms which exhibit characteristics of ongoing agriculture). Likewise, it is not clear how one will provide satisfactory evidence that they meet the requirements, making it very difficult to even begin developing solar in the province.</p> <p>Many future timelines previously discussed have been deleted. It would be nice to have some estimates at this time.</p> <p>It seems the IESO is going to ask projects to submit to a registration phase. Details and timing around this phase and how it will compare to the final procurement submission would be helpful.</p>
Do you have any feedback regarding the recently posted second version of the LT2(e) Contract?	No.
Do you have any feedback to share on the proposed Rated Criteria for the LT2(e) RFP?	No.
Do you have any feedback to share on the proposed mandatory requirements for the LT2(e) RFP, including Municipal and Indigenous Support Resolutions requirements as well as requirements for siting projects on Crown Land?	No, assuming the prescribed forms will be similar to LT1.
Do you have any feedback to share on the Indigenous and Community Engagement requirements for the LT2(e) RFP?	We did not see much requirement for community engagement, at least not in the same format as previous procurements. A discussion of why this was removed would be appreciated and having the prescribed forms to see what is required is helpful to provide early feedback as we are only a few months away from the final RFP.

Key Themes from Recent Stakeholder Feedback

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share on the IESO responses to recent stakeholder feedback?	No.

General Comments/Feedback