

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term 2 RFP – February 24, 2026

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Shelby Dockendorff

Title: Advisor, Public and Government Affairs

Organization: Boralex Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: March 13, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- NO - There is confidential information, do not post
- YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page

System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

**Note:** The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by March 13, 2026.**

## **Procurement Timelines**

### **LT2 Window 2 Proposal Submission**

Do you have any feedback on the proposed timing for proposal submission?

We are supportive of the timing of Window 2 being moved to Q2 2027. In terms of timing during Q2, we suggest having submissions due late Q2, and launch the RFP in January 2027.

## **General Framework**

### **Contract Term**

Do you have any feedback on the proposed contract term for repowering under the Long-Term RFP?

The proposed contract term is contingent upon the definition of repowering as the scope corresponds to the extent of the investment and depreciation schedule. For example, the scope for decommissioning existing assets resulting in a full replacement would likely require a 20-25 year contract term; whereas a more limited scope, as in the case of a partial repower (e.g. replacing all blades) or a lifetime extension program may be able to accommodate a more limited term.

## **Resource Eligibility**

### **Repowering**

Do you have any feedback on the proposed eligibility requirements and definition of repowering?

Advance notice for when the next medium-term procurement will be happening is vital. Ideally, we would like 12-18 months notice ahead of MT3 submissions in order to conduct necessary studies and work with consultants and contractors. Planning for MT3 for operators will take a minimum of 12 months.

It should also be noted that some operators might face limitations in terms of technology availability for operational assets, so this might require additional time.

In terms of the definition of repowering, we echo our feedback submission from the October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025 webinar on Repowering Existing Facilities, we recommending evaluating a variety of repowering options depending on generation technology. While we understand the IESO is not looking at that option currently, we do recommend revisiting that.

## **Target and Competition Mechanics**

Do you have any feedback on the proposed competition mechanism for new and repowered facilities?

## **Mandatory Requirements**

Do you have information to share with the IESO to further inform the mandatory requirements framework as it relates to repowering of existing facilities? E.g., in the areas of exemptions, municipal and Indigenous support resolutions requirements, and agricultural land and other environmental permitting.

## **General Comments/Feedback**

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

Additional details are still needed as soon as possible in order to prepare for both new build bids and repowering bids. Particularly around certain requirements and structures for new builds. Can we expect the same requirements that were in place for LT2 Window 1 to stay in place or will there be new requirements, or a different EPPA structure? Clarity on these items far in advance of the Window 2 submission will be necessary to advance proposal bids.

In terms of an updated deliverability model, we suggest that the most useful way to supply the sector with information is a three-phased model. For immediate action, deliverability information can be given now so proponents can see what availability currently looks like. Then around Q3 2026, give updated transmission capacity that shows the results of LT2 Window 1 energy and capacity streams. This information will be helpful to show proponents how Window 1 impacts the different lines. Final deliverability information should be released a few months before submission.