

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – February 24, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Ivan Mednikov

Title: Project Manager

Organization: Neoen Renewables Canada

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 04 March 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- NO - There is confidential information, do not post
- YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page

Following the February 24th Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by March 13, 2026.

Procurement Timelines

LT2 Window 2 Proposal Submission

Do you have any feedback on the proposed timing for proposal submission?

Neoen understands and appreciates the reasoning behind the push of the proposal submission from 2026 to Q2 2027.

General Framework

Contract Term

Do you have any feedback on the proposed contract term for repowering under the Long-Term RFP?

Neoen agrees with IESO's approach that if a repowering project wants to participate in an LT2 procurement, they should be subject to the same exact contract term as greenfield facilities.

Resource Eligibility

Repowering

Do you have any feedback on the proposed eligibility requirements and definition of repowering?

Neoen agrees with IESO's approach to aim to get the maximum value from each ratepayer's dollar by first extending the facilities' lifecycle by 5 years through a Medium-Term procurement before the facilities start a brand new 20-year term.

Target and Competition Mechanics

Do you have any feedback on the proposed competition mechanism for new and repowered facilities?

For as long as the repowering and greenfield facilities are subject to the same regulatory requirements, deadlines, contract term, conditions, and duration, we believe that IESO could be getting the most out the LT2 procurement.

Neoen supports the inclusion of a cap for repowered facilities, to keep incentivizing development of new-build resources.

Mandatory Requirements

Do you have information to share with the IESO to further inform the mandatory requirements framework as it relates to repowering of existing facilities? E.g., in the areas of exemptions, municipal and Indigenous support resolutions requirements, and agricultural land and other environmental permitting.

No comments

General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

Regarding IESO's preliminary interconnection guidance issuance

Neoen understood that the plan so far has been to issue one imminently (before LT2 e-1 results) and issue a second edition in Q1 2027 following Long lead-time (LLT) contract awards.

Neoen strongly recommends IESO to consider issuance of one more revision following the LT2 energy and capacity results (e.g. in June/July 2026). This version would account for many awarded projects already with a caveat that the LLT procurement awards will not be accounted for yet. Nonetheless, having these three versions would allow the industry to iterate on their prospection efforts to evaluate the most probable sites suitable for LT2 (e-2) and (c-2) development.

*To one of the discussion points during the engagement session, Neoen does **not** believe that releasing the locations of all non-awarded projects is a good practice. Many projects remain heavily confidential and eligible for re-bidding in the future LT2 windows. There is no clear reason to us why such projects would need to be disclosed to the competition.*