

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – February 24, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Suvir Pursnani

Title: Development Manager

Organization: SWEB Development

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: March 11th, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- NO - There is confidential information, do not post**
- YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the February 24th Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by March 13, 2026.

Procurement Timelines

LT2 Window 2 Proposal Submission

Do you have any feedback on the proposed timing for proposal submission?

SWEB Development supports a Q2 2027 submission deadline for the LT2 W2. The IESO should establish timelines and milestone dates for the procurement as soon as possible. SWEB Development also seeks further clarity on W3 and W4 timelines. Proponents need to have certainty in future windows to plan their own development goals and time their project submissions into the appropriate bid windows.

General Framework

Contract Term

Do you have any feedback on the proposed contract term for repowering under the Long-Term RFP?

No comment on repowering projects. See general comments below for proposed term for new-build projects.

Resource Eligibility

Repowering

Do you have any feedback on the proposed eligibility requirements and definition of repowering?

Target and Competition Mechanics

Do you have any feedback on the proposed competition mechanism for new and repowered facilities?

As new market entrants, SWEB Development is concerned with inherent competitive advantages that repowered facilities would have over new-build projects. Barring entirely separate procurements, SWEB supports a capacity cap on repowering projects to encourage new-build projects move forward to secure the province's energy needs. Where the IESO sets this cap relative to the total procurement quota will send a market signal for proponents of new-build projects.

Mandatory Requirements

Do you have information to share with the IESO to further inform the mandatory requirements framework as it relates to repowering of existing facilities? E.g., in the areas of exemptions, municipal and Indigenous support resolutions requirements, and agricultural land and other environmental permitting.

General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

SWEB Development encourages the IESO to consider how it can continue to send market signals to encourage proponents to develop and invest in new-build projects for the LT procurement. Uncertainties on grid availability, interconnection costs, municipal support, procurement quota, timeline, and launch, and competition with repowering projects are risks that new-build proponents continue to face.

SWEB Development urges the IESO to adopt 25-year term contracts for new-build projects which are more commonly seen in other jurisdictions globally and in Canada. British Columbia, Quebec, and Nova Scotia have moved ahead with 25+ year contracts. Wind, solar, and other generating technologies can operate reliably for a 25+ year period. Longer contracts can lock in better pricing and will offer best value to ratepayers.

SWEB Development supports the IESO in providing updated deliverability guidance following the award of LT2 W1 contracts. At the very least, the IESO should provide a list of awarded projects, capacity size, location, and the connecting circuit as soon as possible so that proponents can evaluate their own projects for the remaining submission windows.

SWEB Development encourages the IESO to provide details and a timeline on the Local Generation Program as soon as possible. Further, an understanding of how the two procurements will interact with each other should be outlined. In some cases, projects may be eligible to compete in either procurement, and proponents need to assess which opportunity is the better fit, or if submission into both procurements is appropriate.

SWEB Development encourages the IESO to clarify if there will be any 'Buy Local' provisions within the LT2 W2 or in future windows and if so, to provide details on these provisions as soon as possible so that proponents can determine impacts to the relevant equipment supply chain.

Can the IESO clarify if the LT2 W2 will include early COD bonus mechanisms?

Can the IESO provide statistics related to bid submissions for the LT2 W1 (i.e number of projects submitted, total capacity or annual TWh, technology type count, and total projects per IESO zone)?