

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term 2 RFP – August 20, 2026

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: *Ivan Mednikov*

Title: *Project Manager*

Organization: *Neoen*

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: *August 28, 2026*

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- ☐ **NO - There is confidential information, do not post**  
☒ **YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the August 20<sup>th</sup>, Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

**Note:** The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by September 4, 2026.**

## Repowering

Do you have feedback on the proposed requirements?

- Repowering: Requirement for Commercial Operation:

*No comment.*

- Repowering: Competition Mechanics:

*Neoen supports assessing Repowering Proposals against the price established through the New Build competition. Repowering should be selected where it is deliverable, remains within the reliability-based Repowering Cap, and provides value competitive with the cost of new supply.*

*This approach supports competition and value for Ontario ratepayers.*

## Federal Crown Land

Please inform the IESO if you intend to site your project on federal Crown land.

*Neoen will consider siting projects on federal crown land, feasibility of which will be ultimately decided by the framework to do so as well as the interconnection guidance document.*

## Disclosure of Additional Pricing Information for Window 1

Do you have feedback the IESO should consider when determining whether to release price curves for LT2 Window 1?

*Neoen support the release of the price curves of all window 1 proposals without providing any data that can be used to identify a project or a proponent.*

## General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

### *Deliverability Guidance and Procurement Timeline*

*The indicative schedule places publication of the Final Deliverability Guidance by July 2027 and proposal submission in Q2/Q3 2027. This may provide proponents with little or no meaningful time to incorporate the final guidance into project development and proposal documentation.*

*Neoen recommends that the proposal submission deadline provides sufficient time after publication of the Final Deliverability Guidance, particularly where the final guidance differs materially from the draft.*

### ***GIS-Compatible Locational and Deliverability Information***

*Neoen recommends that the IESO publish authoritative, GIS-compatible data (e.g. shapefiles) supporting the locational rated criteria and deliverability guidance. The data should include transmission-line geometries, circuit names, eligible circuits and zones, and other relevant attributes.*

*Georeferenced PDF maps alone are insufficient for accurate project siting and interconnection analysis. Machine-readable spatial data would improve consistency, reduce interpretation risk, and support more efficient development decisions.*

### ***Treatment of Unused Repowering Volume***

*Neoen recognizes that the Repowering Cap is intended to manage system-reliability risks associated with concurrent outages at existing facilities.*

*However, where there are insufficient competitive Repowering Proposals to use the available repowering volume, additional competitive and deliverable New Build Proposals should be permitted to exceed the New Build Target and fill the remaining volume up to the Procurement Maximum.*

*This would preserve the reliability-based limit on repowering while avoiding an unnecessary reduction in total procurement, as illustrated by Scenarios 2 and 3 on slide 29.*

### ***Proponents Not in Good Standing***

*Neoen supports the IESO's decision not to proceed with a barring regime at this time and to retain Proposal Security as the primary consequence where a Selected Proponent declines to execute its Contract.*

### ***DARTA***

*Neoen supports retaining the DARTA framework for LT2(e-2). The underlying day-ahead to real-time market risk remains substantially outside proponents' control, and DARTA protection should therefore remain available in Window 2.*

### ***Transmission Connection Cost Provisions***

*Neoen does not support removing the interim Transmission Connection Cost provisions included in Window 1.*

*Proponents rely heavily on preliminary information provided by Hydro One to assess connection feasibility and anticipated costs. Neoen has not observed a material improvement in the availability, completeness, or level of detail of this information that would allow proponents to assess these risks with greater confidence.*

*Material uncertainty therefore remains regarding connection feasibility and ultimate transmission connection costs. Neoen recommends reinstating the Window 1 provisions or introducing an equivalent mechanism addressing material connection-cost changes outside the Supplier's control.*

### ***Online Proposal Submission Tool***

*Neoen supports the development of an online proposal submission portal to replace individual prescribed-form submissions. The IESO should provide detailed submission requirements and adequate opportunities for proponents to test the portal sufficiently in advance of the proposal deadline.*