Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – August 20, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Jan Gorski

Title: Director, Government Relations

Organization: Pembina Institute

Email: [redacted]

Date: Sep 4, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

☐ **NO - There is confidential information, do not post**

x **YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the August 20th, Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage.

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca **by September 4, 2026.**

Repowering

Do you have feedback on the proposed requirements?

- Repowering: Requirement for Commercial Operation:
- Repowering: Competition Mechanics:

Federal Crown Land

Please inform the IESO if you intend to site your project on federal Crown land.

Disclosure of Additional Pricing Information for Window 1

Do you have feedback the IESO should consider when determining whether to release price curves for LT2 Window 1?

We support publication of anonymized price curves for LT2 window 1. Transparency about proposed and selected projects helps stakeholders understand the potential for renewables in Ontario and improves the competitive landscape. If it is possible to label projects by type (wind, rooftop solar, non-rooftop solar, hydro for energy, and BESS, gas/biomass for capacity) without compromising their anonymity, that would be helpful.

General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

We support providing incentives for projects that are built with greater domestic content, as this can strengthen Canadian supply chains and support economic development. An important consideration is that criteria that focus solely on the capital costs don't fully capture a projects full economic footprint. Wind and solar projects have very low operational expenses once built, meaning capital costs represent the majority of total project spending. However, natural gas power plants have operational expenses that make up a much larger share of the total costs, driven in large part by the cost of fuel. Given that approximately 75% of the natural gas used in Ontario is sourced from the United States, a domestic content framework that considers only capital expenditures would not fully capture the total "Canadian content" of gas-fired generation and may even overestimate it given that most of the fuel is not from Canadian sources. We recommend that IESO consider incorporating operational expenses including fuel into the assessment of domestic content.

This is particularly important given the energy security concerns raised by the current trade tensions with the United States. An alternative approach would be to introduce an additional rated criteria for energy security to award extra points to energy sources that are not reliant on operational inputs —

such as fuel — sourced from non-Canadian suppliers. This would help ensure that domestic content scoring reflects not only the supply chain for building the plant, but also the security and resilience of its ongoing operation supply chains including fuel.