Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP (Window 2) – October 14, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Dean Luciuk

Title: President and CEO

Organization: Versorium Energy Ltd.

Email: [redacted]

Date: October 28, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- ☐ **NO - There is confidential information, do not post**
- **X** **YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the October 14th, Long-Term 2 RFP Window 2 engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage.

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca **by October 30, 2026.**

Rated Criteria

Locational Criteria

- Do you have feedback on the proposed locational scoring framework, including the treatment of different zones, technology types, and repowering projects?

  1. The energy stream now awards locational points where the Guidance shows more system availability. Will the IESO explain why the capacity stream keeps the Window 1 framework, or consider an availability-based approach for the final LT2(c-2) RFP?

  2. Slide 12 of the October 14, 2026 Engagement Presentation states repowering Proposals are not eligible for locational points under the LT2(e-2) RFP. Please confirm whether the same exclusion applies to repowering Proposals under the LT2(c-2) RFP.

Non-Wires Alternatives (NWA)

- Do you have feedback on the proposed NWA opportunities, including eligibility, scoring, and the approach to assigning points?

  1. Section 8 of the Guidance requires reactive services of up to 33 percent of rated active power at all times while not on outage, regardless of active power output. For a thermal unit, please confirm:

     a. Whether the requirement applies while the unit is available but not generating. Meeting this requires synchronous condenser operation or a separate reactive device.
     b. Whether reactive service at zero active output is compensated under the Contract and/or through the market. If through the market, a project built for continuous reactive support carries added equipment and operating cost. Did the IESO weigh this cost against the point value when setting the criterion and how does the IESO anticipate compensating for the reactive power requirement to be available for dispatch?

Agricultural Land Considerations

- Do you have feedback on the proposed treatment of prime agricultural areas, agrivoltaics, and potential agricultural exemptions?

  Versorium has no comment.

LT2(e-2) Contract Design

Non-Performance Charges (NPCs)

- Do you have feedback on the proposed NPC changes, including technology-specific thresholds and the treatment of monthly production factors?

  1. Draft Contract for LT2(c-2) Exhibit F calculates the availability charge on a payment base before the capacity factor and Adjusted Monthly Contract Capacity excludes the capacity factor. Please confirm a capacity derate is not penalized twice, through the capacity factor

and through the availability charge and how this interacts with the required reactive services.

Evolving Value of Energy Storage

- Do you have feedback on how the evolving reliability and capacity value of energy storage should be reflected in LT2 evaluation, scoring, or procurement design?

  Versorium has no comment.

Repowering

- Do you have feedback on the proposed Independent Engineer certification requirements?

  Versorium has no comment.

- Do you have feedback on the proposed treatment of repowering projects within LT2 competition and evaluation processes?

  Versorium has no comment.

Regulation Service Readiness

- Do you have feedback on the proposal to require regulation-service readiness for LT2 projects?

  1. Does the regulation range sit within Contract Capacity or above Contract Capacity within Nameplate Capacity? Section 7.1(l) of the LT2(c-2) draft Contract caps Maximum Contract Capacity at 95 percent of Nameplate Capacity. If the range sits above Contract Capacity, how will the IESO compensate the reserved capacity?

- Do you have feedback on the proposed technical requirements and any associated cost, schedule, or implementation impacts?

  Versorium has no comment.

Deliverability and System Performance

- Do you have feedback on the proposed deliverability methodology, including regional congestion assumptions and transparency of information available to proponents?

  Versorium has no comment

- Do you have feedback on the proposed treatment of short-circuit strength, minimum system strength requirements, and emerging technologies such as grid-forming inverter-based resources?

  Versorium has no comment

- Do you have feedback on the proposed circuit availability maps and any additional information that would support project siting and proposal development?

  Versorium has no comment.

General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

No.