

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – March 10, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Raquel Reyes

Title: Project Development Associate, Energy Services

Organization: Saturn Power Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 3/18/2022

Following the March 10th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on a variety of elements to help further inform the draft RFP and Contract, including: term length, revenue streams, deliverability process and Draft RFQ.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by March 17, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Term Length

Topic	Feedback
Does the revised, 15-year term length provide stakeholders with sufficient certainty for project financing and development?	It does provide sufficient certainty for a battery energy storage project.

Revenue Streams

Topic	Feedback
Are stakeholders supportive of the high level approach for additional revenue streams, discussed in slides 26-28?	It makes sense, but the PPA approach is a lot more favorable from a development standpoint.
Does an option with a capacity payment and energy market hedge provide stakeholders with sufficient certainty?	It does.
Do stakeholders believe that the high level revenue stream option supports efficient market operation? Are there additional considerations that could help support energy market efficiency?	Yes – but, will the IESO be providing any demand charges to the storage applicants? If so, how will you navigate those charges to the developers?

Deliverability Process

Topic	Feedback
Do stakeholders have any comments on the deliverability process laid out on slides 34-36?	What's the timeline of the deliverability assessment window?
Does the general timing of the proposed deliverability process (i.e., a deliverability assessment window prior to proposal submission) provide stakeholders with enough clarity on the deliverability of their proposed project?	

Draft RFQ

Topic	Feedback
Do stakeholders have any general comments on the draft RFQ as discussed on slides 37-46? <i>Please note that specific draft RFQ feedback is requested on the feedback form sent alongside the draft RFQ on February 28.</i>	

General Comments/Feedback

As mentioned in Section 3.2 (iii) – we ought to include at least 1 designated team member who has market operation experience. Our team was wondering if it's acceptable to hire a third-party entity as part of our team to meet that market operation experience. They won't be an employee of our entity, but they would be providing the qualification of the market experience by being part of the team member for the project.