

Feedback Form

Draft Long-Term RFQ – Posted February 28, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Jacques Boily

Title: Director Business Development

Organization: Hydromega Services inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: March 31, 2022

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the draft Long-Term Request for Qualifications (LT1 RFQ). The LT 1 RFQ will seek to ensure that interested parties have the capability to undertake project development for the LT1 RFP and will seek to evaluate applicants both on corporate experience and employee experience.

The draft LT1 RFQ can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by March 31, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: ***Draft Long-Term 1 RFQ***. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Draft LT 1 RFQ

Topic/ RFQ Section	Feedback
Qualification Submission Qualification Submission Fee / Section 2.7 (b)(i)	
Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects Large-Scale Entity Development Experience / Section 3.2 (a)(i)	The requirements should be for only one (1) Qualifying Large-Scale Project, as development experience is very similar and transferable from one project to the other
Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects Large-Scale Individual Development Experience / 3.2 (a)(ii)	The requirements should be for only one (1) Designated Team Member with the required experience. Also, what happens if individuals with the required experience leave the Qualified Proponent after being qualified?
Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects Market Operating Experience / 3.2 (a)(iii)	<p>While we understand the requirement for Development experience which is needed to bring the projects online as soon as possible, we do not believe the Market Operating Experience is paramount. There are consultants specialized in offering this kind of service, which by the way will be required only once the facility is in operation, in a few years from now. Furthermore, it is possible that such employee is no longer with the developer once the facility comes in operation.</p> <p>We suggest that the requirement should be that any successful proponent under the LT-RFP hire, at least six months before COD, a consultant or an employee with the required experience (at the choice of the proponent).</p> <p>The experience should also have been acquired with the last ten (10) years instead of the last (2) years because otherwise this limits the number of persons/consultants available.</p>

Topic/ RFQ Section	Feedback
<p data-bbox="180 163 857 233">Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</p> <p data-bbox="180 268 873 338">Small-Scale Entity Development Experience / Section 3.2 (b)(i)</p>	<p data-bbox="935 163 1507 317">As for 3.2 (a)(i), the Qualifying Small-Scale Project should have attained commercial operation no more than ten (10) years prior to the date of the Qualification Submission</p>
<p data-bbox="180 380 857 449">Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</p> <p data-bbox="180 485 873 554">Small-Scale Individual Development Experience / 3.2 (b)(ii)</p>	<p data-bbox="935 380 1300 415">Same comment as 3.2(a)(ii)</p>
<p data-bbox="180 590 857 659">Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</p> <p data-bbox="180 695 727 730">Market Operating Experience / 3.2 (b)(iii)</p>	<p data-bbox="935 590 1507 785">Same comment as 3.2(a)(iii). Furthermore, this requirement makes less sense as developers/operators of small projects are typically not Market Operators and as such, they do not have this kind of experience.</p>

General Comments/Feedback

What is the rationale behind the exclusion of Expansion, Upgrade or Redevelopment in the definition of New Build Projects? As long as it results in new capacity/energy, it should not be excluded. Also, existing projects that are currently idle since a minimum of five (5) years should be eligible in the LT-RFP, otherwise it represents capacity/energy that will be almost definitively lost (the MT-RFP requirements and its short contract term of five (5) years are not always easy to meet for these kind of projects).