

# Feedback Form

## Draft Long-Term RFQ – Posted February 28, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Chris Codd

Title: VP, Regulatory and Asset Development

Organization: Versorium Energy Ltd.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: April 4, 2022

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the draft Long-Term Request for Qualifications (LT1 RFQ). The LT 1 RFQ will seek to ensure that interested parties have the capability to undertake project development for the LT1 RFP and will seek to evaluate applicants both on corporate experience and employee experience.

The draft LT1 RFQ can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

**Please provide feedback by March 31, 2022 to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca).**

Please use subject header: *Draft Long-Term 1 RFQ*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

## Draft LT 1 RFQ

**Topic/ RFQ Section**

**Feedback**

**Qualification Submission**

Qualification Submission Fee / Section 2.7 (b)(i)

Topic/ RFQ Section	Feedback
<p data-bbox="188 163 857 233"><b>Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p data-bbox="188 275 873 344">Large-Scale Entity Development Experience / Section 3.2 (a)(i)</p>	<p data-bbox="935 163 1498 321">We suggest removing or revising the large-scale entity development experience requirements to improve the competitiveness of the LT RFP.</p> <p data-bbox="935 363 1487 835">The proposed large-scale entity development experience requirements will restrict the participation of new organizations and development-only organizations. Many new companies have the necessary experience to develop and operate resources but will not meet these experience requirements. Similarly, development organizations that transfer assets at or prior to COD also have necessary development experience but will not meet these requirements.</p> <p data-bbox="935 877 1515 1035">While there are opportunities to partner with other organizations, this adds complexity and cost to a proposal that reduces the overall competitiveness.</p> <p data-bbox="935 1077 1515 1434">Given the imminent needs in Ontario, we understand that these requirements are intended to get high quality applicants with the capacity to develop projects. One alternative would be to rely on the individual experience requirements. Another alternative would evidence that directly tests development capability, such as available financing and equipment contracts.</p> <p data-bbox="935 1476 1515 1707">In particular, we expect that financing will be the largest risk that isn't project-specific for most organizations and the large-scale entity development experience requirements should provide an alternative qualification focusing on financing.</p>

Topic/ RFQ Section	Feedback
<p><b>Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p>Large-Scale Individual Development Experience / 3.2 (a)(ii)</p>	
<p><b>Mandatory Requirements for Large-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p>Market Operating Experience / 3.2 (a)(iii)</p>	<p>The market operating experience requirements should not be limited to experience in the last two years because this is unnecessarily restrictive. Experience within the last 10 years would be sufficient to understand resource offering, scheduling, dispatching and operating.</p>
<p><b>Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p>Small-Scale Entity Development Experience / Section 3.2 (b)(i)</p>	<p>Same as comments on Section 3.2(a)(i).</p>
<p><b>Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p>Small-Scale Individual Development Experience / 3.2 (b)(ii)</p>	
<p><b>Mandatory Requirements for Small-Scale LT1 Projects</b></p> <p>Market Operating Experience / 3.2 (b)(iii)</p>	

## General Comments/Feedback