

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – July 21, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Paul Norris

Title: President

Organization: Ontario Waterpower Association

Email: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

Date: August 4, 2022

Following the July 21st public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on: Municipal Council Support Resolution, Contract Design, Revised Timelines, and the Deliverability Test Guidance Document.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by August 4, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Municipal Council Support Resolution

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|--|
| Please provide any feedback on the IESO's proposal to change the Municipal Council Support Resolution from a mandatory requirement to a rated criteria. | The OWA supports a Municipal Council Resolution becoming a rated criteria. I note, however that while reference was made in the meeting to "Band Council Resolution" as the rated criteria for Indigenous support, there was no further clarity on the geographic basis for seeking such a resolution. In a previous session, IESO staff suggested that this would only be applied to projects "on reserve", yet Indigenous support is most often relevant on a "Traditional Territory" basis (i.e. beyond reserve boundaries), particularly when there is the potential to infringe on an established or asserted Aboriginal or Treaty right. Note as well that the term "Band Council Resolution" is not generally the mechanism employed by Metis communities |

Proposed Contract Design

| Topic | Feedback |
|--|--|
| Please provide any feedback on the potential use of indexing in the contracts and what indices (if any) may be best suited for these procurements. | The OWA supports the indexation of contracts and recommends that in addition to the consideration of material cost adjustments, the indexation include labour cost indexation as well. |

LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Revised Timelines

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|---|
| Please provide feedback on the proposed revised timelines and whether these seem appropriate. | As noted in previous submissions, the forward period and contract term will not support the development of waterpower |

Deliverability Test Guidance Document

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|---|
| Please provide any feedback on the Deliverability Test Guidance Document and associated form. | Please provide rationale for the assumptions made in Sections 5.4 and 5.5 for hydroelectric facilities. What assumptions will be used for modified peaking facilities or cascade river systems? |

General Comments/Feedback