# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP – July 21, 2022

#### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Jae Young Ahn

Title: President of SRE

Organization: Samsung Renewable Energy, INC.

Email:

Date: 8/4/22

Following the July 21<sup>st</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on: Municipal Council Support Resolution, Contract Design, Revised Timelines, and the Deliverability Test Guidance Document.

The referenced presentation can be found on the Long-Term RFP webpage.

#### Please provide feedback by August 4, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP* . To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the <u>Long-Term RFP webpage</u> unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.



### Municipal Council Support Resolution

| Topic   | Feedback  |
|---|---|
| Please provide any feedback on the IESO's proposal to change the Municipal Council Support Resolution from a mandatory requirement to a rated criteria. | Samsung Renewable Energy, INC. (SRE) supports this proposal and recommends that the rating values be set according to realistic engagement milestones |

### Proposed Contract Design

| Topic  | Feedback   |
|--|--|
| Please provide any feedback on the potential use of indexing in the contracts and what indices (if any) may be best suited for these procurements. | SRE supports the use of indexing in contracts and recommends the lithium carbonate, lithium hydroxide, cobalt, nickel price index in particular be used. |

#### LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Revised Timelines

| Торіс   | Feedback   |
|---|--|
| Please provide feedback on the proposed revised timelines and whether these seem appropriate. | SRE supports the revised timelines and believes them to be appropriate. Additionally, we recommend that the expedited process target COD of May 2025 be adjusted by an equivalent delay of 3 months or longer. |

### Deliverability Test Guidance Document

| Topic   | Feedback   |
|---|--|
| Please provide any feedback on the Deliverability Test Guidance Document and associated form. | SRE suggests removal of the cap on number of projects that each applicant can submit for the Deliverability Test, as the cap will exclude many high-value project opportunities, especially new builds if the same cap is not applied to the same tech expansions. |

### General Comments/Feedback