# Feedback Form

# Long-Term RFP – July 21, 2022

#### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Raquel Reyes Title: Project Developer Organization: Saturn Power Inc. Email: Date: Aug 3, 2022

Following the July 21<sup>st</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on: Municipal Council Support Resolution, Contract Design, Revised Timelines, and the Deliverability Test Guidance Document.

The referenced presentation can be found on the Long-Term RFP webpage.

#### Please provide feedback by August 4, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the <u>Long-Term RFP webpage</u> unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.



#### Municipal Council Support Resolution

Торіс	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the IESO's proposal to change the Municipal Council Support Resolution from a mandatory requirement to a rated criteria.	It would be best considered as a rated criteria rather than a mandatory requirement given the length of permitting process. Most municipalities won't have existing by-laws regarding the permitting process of energy storage, thus having a support resolution letter might be difficult to obtain in the early stages of procurement and permitting. It also sets a lot of risk on the developer and creates expectations on the municipalities without having secured a contract with the IESO.

#### Proposed Contract Design

Торіс	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the potential use of indexing in the contracts and what indices (if any) may be best suited for these procurements.	Saturn Power supports the use of Indexing mechanism in the contract in relation to key commodities used in batteries and in proportion to the associated costs. Indices which are widely accepted by industry and correlate with actual prices must be used.

### LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Revised Timelines

Торіс	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the proposed revised timelines and whether these seem appropriate.	We deem the revised timelines appropriate.

#### Deliverability Test Guidance Document

Торіс	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the Deliverability Test Guidance Document and associated form.	<ul> <li>The following questions are more in relation to the Deliverability Test Data Input form. We understand that the input form will not get amended, but we would still clarification on the following items: <ol> <li>"Expedited Process" tab, lines 29 and 30.</li> <li>Line 29 is in reference to inverter based generation while line 30 is in reference to storage. For a storage project there should not be a need to list the name plate rating on line 29 as it is not generation.</li> </ol> </li> <li>"Expedited Process" tab, lines 23 and 24.</li> <li>Hydro One as the transmission facility owner has provided a guideline document for interconnection generation onto the high voltage (HV), 115 kV and 230 kV circuits and extra high voltage (EHV), 500 kV circuits. The document provides options for connection to a single circuit as well as a double circuit. It short, it doesn't clarify the connection option.</li> <li>Questions: <ol> <li>If at the point of interconnection there is a dual circuit, is there a preference for the project to connect to one circuit provides?</li> <li>If there are two circuits, should the preferred circuit be listed first (line 23) and the alternate circuit second (line 24) and the exact connection configuration will be stipulated during the SIA and CIA-TX process?</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

## General Comments/Feedback