

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – March 28, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Milton Maragh

Title: Business Development Manager

Organization: 2G Energy Corp.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: April 3rd, 2023

Following the March 28th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by April 11, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

LT1 RFP Design and Lessons Learned from E-LT1 RFP

Topic	Feedback
<p>E-LT1 RFP: Please provide any general feedback reflecting on your participation in the E-LT1 RFP as it relates to the upcoming LT1 RFP.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="829 226 1520 541">1. The time between the proposed date of Q1/Q2 2024 for LT1 Contract Award and latest Commercial Operation Date (COD) of May 1st 2027 (Q2/2027) is just short of 3 years which is too tight for Qualified Applicants to successfully develop all projects being pursued. It is being proposed that the deadline date for contract award should not be later than Dec 2023.<li data-bbox="829 583 1520 783">2. The time between the proposed date of Sep/Oct for receiving Deliverability Tests Results and Proposal Submission in Oct/Nov is too short. A time duration of at least 3 months is being proposed.<li data-bbox="829 846 1520 1234">3. Considerations should be given to the use of carbon neutral and blended fuels (for example, hydrogen and biogas) as part of the fuel mix for gas-fired power generators under LT1 Contracts. This will be consistent with the overall thrust to decarbonize the Ontario Electricity Grid. Additionally, existing FIT Contract holders with biogas to power facilities should be considered for participation under Same Technology Upgrade/Expansion program.<li data-bbox="829 1297 1520 1728">4. Considerations should be given for gas-fired power plants under LT1 Contracts to be utilized for self-use during the periods when they are not being called on for injection into the grid. This is particularly applicable to large greenhouses with grow lights but will require enabling policies and incentives to make this an economical option. This could reduce the demand from the grid and essentially create capacity for other users that would not have onsite combined heat and power plants under LT1 Contracts.

Topic	Feedback
LT1 RFP design: Please provide any feedback on the proposed Mandatory Requirement for Municipal Support.	The Mandatory Requirement for Municipal Support is good but delays in securing this will result in delay in an already tight project development timeline.
LT1 RFP design: Please provide any feedback on the Rated Criteria Categories and Point Allocation.	

Indigenous Community Participation

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the Rated Criteria for Indigenous Community Participation as contemplated in the E-LT1 RFP as it relates to the upcoming LT1 RFP.	

Proposed Contract Design: General Feedback

Topic	Feedback
<p>Please provide feedback on any contract provisions you wish to comment on.</p> <p><i>Note: the commercial structure/ revenue model for the LT1 Contract will not be modified from that which was used under the E-LT1 Contract.</i></p>	

General Comments/Feedback

There may be need for some further clarity on the following:

1. For LT1, will the limit for Deliverability Tests submission remain at 10 per qualified applicant?
2. Will the Daily Capacity Payments earned by LT1 Contract Awardees be adjusted for any additional revenues earned from participating in the electricity market?