

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – June 29, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Margaret Koontz

Title: Manager, Market Affairs

Organization: Atura Power

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 14, 2023

Following the June 29th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by July 13, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Revised COD of May 1, 2028

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised COD date and the introduction of Capacity payment multipliers for early operation?	Yes. Atura Power (Atura) is supportive of these changes.

Revised procurement targets

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised LT1 procurement targets on slide 15, which has increased the overall procurement target from 2,200MW to 2,505MW? This enables unused MWs in the Non-Storage Category from the E-LT1 RFP to the Non-Storage Category in the LT1 RFP. The IESO continues to reserve the right to accept the marginal bid above the Storage Category procurement target.	Yes. Atura is supportive of this change.

Changes to Rated Criteria

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slides 20 and 21? This includes the removal of the duration of service as a Rated Criteria and setting minimum duration requirements as a Mandatory Criteria for Storage Category and Non-Storage Category resources. Remaining Rated Criteria include: Local Governing Body Support, and Indigenous Participation.	Atura supports the concept of allocating 3 points for Indigenous Community Participation and 3 points for Local Governing Body Support Confirmation and the 0.20 evaluation criteria weighting factor that was included in the June 30 draft RFP version. Atura notes that the June 30 th draft RFP reflects a different allocation for Indigenous Community Participation that was included in the presentation by the Ministry of Energy on June 29 th . Atura supports the Indigenous Community Participation criteria proposed by the Ministry of Energy on June 29 th that includes consideration of treaty/traditional territory. It is critical that the rated criteria and the evaluation criteria weighting factor is finalized as soon as possible to allow proponents to make related commercial decisions.

Inclusion of the MCIA in the LT1 RFP

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of continuing to include MCIA options in the LT1 RFP?	Yes, Atura is supportive of the continuing to include MCIA in the LT1 RFP.

Changes to Proponent Group Award Limit

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of increasing the Group Award Limit for Storage Category resources from 600 MW to 900 MW? Additionally, the IESO invites Proponents to provide Group Award Limit feedback with regards to the Non-Storage Category.	Yes, Atura is supportive of this increase. Atura recommends for the non-storage category the Group Award Limited be removed or similarly increased as the Storage category (i.e. 900 MW). To ensure the IESO is able to get to as close to the 905 MW target (non-storage) without exceeding, IESO should consider a least cost portfolio solution.

Other or General Comments/Feedback:

Early Notification of Successful Proponent Notification

It is recommended that the IESO look for opportunities to notify successful proponents of selection as soon as possible to maximize time for projects to be delivered. Given that non-storage projects are selected first, it is recommended that IESO notify the successful non storage proponents first instead of waiting to complete the storage proponents as well. Likewise, if there is another two multi-step selection process for a contract category, notify proponents at the conclusion of each step, rather than waiting for completion - similar to how the partial announcement was made following conclusion of the storage category 1 process with a follow-up announcement once the storage category 2 process was completed.

In addition to these comments, Atura Power supports the submission made by APPrO.