

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – August 17, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Emma Coyle

Title: Director, Regulatory & Environmental Policy

Organization: Capital Power Corporation

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: September 1st, 2023

Following the August 17th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the changes to the rated criteria proposed in the meeting.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by September 1, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Changes to Rated Criteria: Indigenous Community Participation

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slide 20 with respect to Indigenous Community Participation? This includes the introduction of more granularity to the economic interest segments, as well as an increase overall to the total points available for Indigenous Community Participation.	Capital Power is not opposed to the approach presented by the IESO.

Changes to Rated Criteria: Local Governing Body Support

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slide 21 with respect to Local Governing Body Support? This includes increasing the Rated Criteria points for Local Governing Body Support to 4, as well as changing the evaluation criteria weighting in the formula in section 4.4(d)(iii) from 0.3 to 0.2.	Capital Power is not opposed to the approach presented by the IESO.

Changes to Indigenous Consultation (Duty to Consult)

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of the new Development and Construction Covenant added to the draft LT1 Contract (s2.2e), as well as process outlined in the Ministry of Energy's draft Duty to Consult Delegation Letter template?	Capital Power is not opposed to the Covenant presented by the IESO.

Other or General Comments/Feedback:

Section 6.2(a) of the Draft LT1 Contract states that the Completion and Performance Security shall be in the form of a Letter of Credit. While Capital Power appreciates this Composition of Security is consistent with the E-LT1 contract, we encourage the IESO to include parental guarantees as a form of security that will satisfy Supplier obligations under the agreement. Parental guarantees from creditworthy Suppliers are less expensive than Letters of Credit, which impose a carrying cost on the

Supplier. All things equal, allowing parental guarantees will allow for lower costs borne by the supplier and lower contract prices, directly benefitting ratepayers.