

# Feedback Form

## Transmitter Selection Framework – April 22, 2025

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Mark Faught

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Organization: PUC Transmission

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: May 20, 2025

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the "[insert engagement webpage](#)" unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the April 22, 2025 engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on Transmitter Selection Framework Registry (TSF-R) Design. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by **May 13, 2025**.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

In your view, do the draft Registry program rules appropriately balance the need for transmitter qualifications with the goal of encouraging broad participation?	<p>The recognition of "Existing Ontario Transmitters" and the streamlined path provided to those with two or more years of operational history under OEB license is a welcomed improvement.</p> <p>The recent changes to the experience requirements—such as the reduced distance threshold and the expanded 20-year experience window—are also positive steps forward.</p>
Do you have any concerns with the application or renewal process as proposed (e.g., two-year term, renewal triggers, documentation)?	No major concerns with the renewal process itself. The changes introducing rolling submission windows and added flexibility are appreciated. Continued clarity on renewal documentation expectations would help minimize administrative burden.
Are the proposed provisions regarding Indigenous community participation and exclusivity arrangements sufficiently clear and practical to implement?	Yes. The updated rules offer strong support for Indigenous community autonomy and explicitly discourage exclusivity arrangements that could limit partnership opportunities. This is a positive and practical step forward to promote equitable partnerships.
Are there any outstanding barriers which could interfere with transmitters participating within the TSF registry?	N/A
Do you have any additional feedback regarding the TSF of the TSF Registry that the IESO should consider?	We appreciate the recognition of "Existing Ontario Transmitters" and the streamlined path provided to those with two or more years of operational history under OEB license.
Do you have any feedback on the TSF Registry registration fee and the rationale behind it?	N/A

## General Comments/Feedback