

Feedback Form

Transmitter Selection Framework – July 25, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Vincent Buron

Title: Managing Partner

Organization: Transmission Infrastructure Partners

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: August 9, 2024

Following the July 25, 2024 Transmitter Selection Framework (TSF) focused engagement session, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed during the webinar. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by **August 9, 2024.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

Topic	Feedback
<p>Partial Contracting Evaluation:</p> <p>In your view, does the proposed "Partial Contracting Approach" sufficiently:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeguard ratepayers against project risks? • Promote competition? • Promote Indigenous Participation? 	<p>It is difficult to judge whether this approach will indeed address the three listed items as many implementation details still need to be developed. At the high level described to date, it appears it should protect ratepayers against risk. In regards to Promoting competition and promoting Indigenous participation, those goals need to be ensured during the bidding and evaluation stages. The contracting stage can be used to ensure the bid promotes are kept.</p>
<p>Qualified Transmitter Registry:</p> <p>Does a flexible application submission window for a qualified transmitter registry meet developers/stakeholders needs?</p>	<p>Will this process allow for SPVs where Indigenous communities have equity ownership of 50.000% or more from the beginning?</p>
<p>Evaluation Criteria Review:</p> <p>Has the IESO captured all of the necessary evaluation guiding principles and criteria within an expected transmission project proposal?</p>	<p>Again the high level principles listed appear sufficient, but we await the detailed criteria to determine the effectiveness and fairness of the competition.</p>
<p>Indigenous Participation Criteria:</p> <p>What criteria should be considered to assess a proponent's experience with Indigenous participation and partnerships?</p>	<p>Letters of support from impacted communities as well as track record of promises kept on past projects.</p>

General Comments/Feedback

- 1- For projects that are not part of this process, there could be consideration to distributing them fairly among all qualified transmitters?
- 2- Are there provisions for the fair and timely recovery of bidding costs for unsuccessful proponents?
- 3- Will there be an opportunity to comment on the report to the Minister in draft form before it is issued? Or a comment period once it is issued?

- 4- If the TSF only applies to facilities with a lead time of more than 6 years, it is quite likely that very few (if any) projects would qualify. This time frame should be shortened.