

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term 2 RFP – June 16, 2026

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Shelby Dockendorff

Title: Advisor, Public and Government Affairs

Organization: Boralex Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 3, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- NO - There is confidential information, do not post**
- YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the June 16<sup>th</sup>, Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

**Note:** The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by July 3, 2026.**

## **Domestic Content Requirements**

Do you have feedback on the proposed requirements?

Stakeholders are encouraged to review and provide feedback on the domestic content provisions that were applied for the LLT RFP and can be found in the [LLT RFP Ministerial Directive](#)

We suggest that the IESO provide a consistent format for proponents to submit a Supply Chain Disclosure Plan so that bids are comparable and that it's clear as to what level of detail the IESO is looking for, and that the IESO engage with suppliers and developers further to get a better understanding of supply chains for different technologies, and what commitments/information manufacturers could supply to proponents at the time of the bid. Supply chains can shift significantly during the time that projects are being developed and constructed that there might be difficulties achieving domestic commitments at the time of COD. Would the IESO be open to relief mechanisms if Canadian sourcing ceases to be available during the project development, but was available at the time of bid and supply chain disclosure plan development?

Additionally, it would be helpful to have more information on what the IESO classifies as "Canadian Material" and "Construction Material", i.e. just steel and aluminum vs. generation equipment like modules, turbines, etc.

Finally, for the \$5 million liquidated damages, we would like the IESO to provide more information about this and if it's a flat rate applied to all projects, or scaled to project size?

## **Increased Proposal Fees**

Do you have feedback on newly proposed fees?

## **E-PPA Design: DA to RT Adjustments**

Do you have any comments to share with the IESO regarding the consideration of the IESO removing the DARTA mechanism for LT 2 Window 2?

Boralex is supportive of CanREA's feedback on the proposed DARTA changes.

## **Locational Rated Criteria**

Do you have feedback on the proposed locational rated criteria?

Boralex is supportive of the proposed locational rated criteria but want to note that while the IESO is looking at capacity in the north versus locational rated criteria in southern Ontario, we believe the rated criteria options should be one or the other, and not both areas prioritized during the same procurement cycle.

## **Exclusion Criteria**

Do you have feedback on the use of exclusion criteria to prohibit participation by any Proponent, including entities that Controlled the Proponent, deemed by the IESO not to be in good standing?

## **General Comments/Feedback**

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

Boralex is supportive of the proposed timeline for the upcoming MT3 procurement.