

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – June 16, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Benoit Pinot de Villechenon

Title: Province Director

Organization: Neoen

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 26 June 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term 2 RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- NO - There is confidential information, do not post**
- YES - Comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the June 16th, Long-Term 2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [Long-Term Procurement engagement webpage](#).

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your rationale provided below. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by July 3, 2026.

Domestic Content Requirements

Do you have feedback on the proposed requirements?

Stakeholders are encouraged to review and provide feedback on the domestic content provisions that were applied for the LLT RFP and can be found in the [LLT RFP Ministerial Directive](#)

We support encouraging domestic content, and recommend that it be applied through bonus/rated points rather than as a mandatory requirement. This would preserve flexibility for proponents to determine what is commercially and economically feasible, while still rewarding projects that maximize domestic participation where practical.

Increased Proposal Fees

Do you have feedback on newly proposed fees?

No comment.

E-PPA Design: DA to RT Adjustments

Do you have any comments to share with the IESO regarding the consideration of the IESO removing the DARTA mechanism for LT 2 Window 2?

We support retaining the DARTA mechanism. It is a helpful feature that reduces market-settlement risk, improves revenue certainty, and supports more competitive pricing.

Locational Rated Criteria

Do you have feedback on the proposed locational rated criteria?

We generally support the use of locational rated criteria, provided that the underlying basis, specific criteria, and associated locations are clearly identified and communicated to proponents well in advance of key decision-making timelines. The criteria should be transparent, objective, and applied consistently.

Exclusion Criteria

Do you have feedback on the use of exclusion criteria to prohibit participation by any Proponent, including entities that Controlled the Proponent, deemed by the IESO not to be in good standing?

We support the idea of exclusion criteria for proponents that are not in good standing.

General Comments/Feedback

Do you have additional feedback to share with the IESO?

No comment.