



---

# Annual Planning Outlook

Resource Adequacy and Energy Assessments Methodology

December 2022

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Resource Adequacy Assessments	3
1.1.1 Resource Adequacy Criteria	3
1.2 Energy Assessments	4
<b>2. Demand Forecast</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Supply Outlook</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 ICAP Ratings	7
3.2 Hydroelectric, Wind, Solar and Storage	7
3.3 Forced Outage Rates	7
3.3.1 Generator Chronology	8
3.3.2 EFORd Calculation	10
3.4 Planned Outages	14
<b>4. Resource Adequacy Assessment</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1 MARS Model Overview	15
4.2 Demand and Load Forecast Uncertainty	16
4.3 Thermal Generators	16
4.4 Hydroelectric Generators	17
4.5 Wind Generators	17
4.6 Solar Generators	17
4.7 Demand-Side Resources	18
4.8 Energy Storage	18
4.9 Transmission Transfer Capabilities	18
4.10 Neighbouring External Jurisdictions	18
4.11 Emergency Operating Procedures	19
4.12 Determining the Resource Adequacy Requirement	19
4.12.1 Reserve Margin	20
4.12.2 Seasonal Considerations	20

4.13 Nuclear Refurbishment Reserve	21
4.14 Hourly Probability of Loss of Load	22
4.15 Duration of Resource Adequacy Risks Periods	22
<b>5. Energy Assessments</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1 UPLAN Model Overview	23
5.2 Demand Forecast	23
5.3 Thermal Generators	23
5.3.1 Combined Heat and Power Generators	23
5.3.2 Dual-Fuel and Energy-Limited Thermal Generators	24
5.3.3 Bioenergy Generators	24
5.4 Hydroelectric Generators	24
5.5 Wind Generators	24
5.6 Solar Generators	24
5.7 Demand-Side Resources	25
5.8 Transmission System	25
5.9 Neighbouring Jurisdictions	25
5.10 Unserved Energy	25
5.11 Surplus Baseload Generation	25
5.12 Marginal Costs	25
5.13 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	25

# 1. Introduction

This document describes the data sources and methodologies used to perform the resource adequacy and energy assessments included in the Annual Planning Outlook (APO).

## 1.1 Resource Adequacy Assessments

Resource adequacy assessments are a way to assess the ability of electricity resources to meet electricity demand, taking into consideration the demand forecast, generator availability, and transmission constraints.

Adequacy studies are performed to:

- Determine the supply/demand balance
- Identify the amount, timing, location, and duration of system needs
- Assess the ability of different resource types to meet system needs
- Provide guidance on the scope and timing for resource acquisition and investment decisions
- Provide recommendations on outage management and capacity export decisions

From a long term planning perspective, a capacity need (or capacity deficit) occurs when there is a risk of using emergency operating procedures, such as public appeals, voltage reductions, or disconnecting firm load due to resource deficiencies. Resource adequacy criteria define which sources of risk to consider and what level of risk the electricity system should be prepared to meet.

### 1.1.1 Resource Adequacy Criteria

The IESO is the Planning Coordinator and Resource Planner for Ontario, as defined by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC).<sup>1</sup> As detailed in Section 8 of the Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria (ORTAC),<sup>2</sup> the IESO follows the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) resource adequacy criterion, as outlined in NPCC "Directory #1: Design and Operation of the Bulk Power System":<sup>3</sup>

"Each Planning Coordinator or Resource Planner shall probabilistically evaluate resource adequacy of its Planning Coordinator Area portion of the bulk power system to demonstrate that the loss of load expectation (LOLE) of disconnecting firm load due to resource deficiencies is, on average, no more than 0.1 days per year."

Directory #1 further requires applicable entities to "make due allowances for demand uncertainty, scheduled outages and deratings, forced outages and deratings, assistance over interconnections with neighbouring Planning Coordinator Areas, transmission transfer capabilities, and capacity and/or load relief from available operating procedures."

ORTAC Section 8.2 states that the IESO will not consider emergency operating procedures for long-term capacity planning.

---

<sup>1</sup>For more information, refer to the [NERC Reliability Functional Model, Dec 2018](#)

<sup>2</sup>For more information, refer to the [Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria, August 2007](#)

<sup>3</sup>For more information, refer to the [NPCC Directory #1, September 2015](#)

## 1.2 Energy Assessments

Energy assessments give insight into how the electricity system will operate under expected future conditions. There are two main types of energy assessments: energy adequacy and energy production.

Energy adequacy assessments assess Ontario's ability to meet its own electricity needs and better characterize the nature of future needs. The assessment does not include any economic imports or exports across Ontario's interconnections. These types of assessments are used as a deterministic supplement to resource adequacy assessments in evaluating both the ability of Ontario's resources to meet system load, and the potential for unserved energy and surplus baseload generation (SBG) in Ontario under normal system conditions.

Energy production assessments include economic imports and exports between Ontario and its neighbours. These assessments are used to simulate Ontario's electricity market by informing system economics (e.g. system costs, marginal costs) and system performance (e.g. electricity sector emissions).



## 2. Demand Forecast

The long-term demand forecast is a key input into the Annual Planning Outlook's resource adequacy and energy assessments. The demand forecast is an hourly forecast of the demand for electricity in each of Ontario's 10 electrical zones. The methodology to produce the long-term demand forecast is described in the [2022 APO Demand Forecast Methodology](#).

## 3. Supply Outlook

The supply outlook is the starting point for modelling electricity resources in both resource adequacy and energy assessments. An up-to-date overview of the resources that are expected to be available over the planning horizon is required to project adequacy needs and evaluate system performance.

To create the supply outlook, information about each supply resource in Ontario is gathered from various datasets and assembled into a single database. Supply resources modelled in the APO include market participants (resources that participate in the IESO controlled electricity market or are connected to the IESO-controlled grid) and embedded resources (resources connected to the distribution system and do not participate in the electricity market). Generators that are behind a customer's electricity meter are not considered as a supply resource, but as a demand modifier in the demand forecast.

Data sources for creating the supply outlook include information collected directly from market participants through the Customer Data Management System (CDMS) and through Form 1230 Reliability Assessment submissions, as well as information from IESO-held contracts, the Ontario Electricity Financial Corporation (OEFC) for non-utility generators (NUGs), and from the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) for rate-regulated resources.

From these data sources, the IESO creates a common resource database that has the most up-to-date information for each resource, including:

- Resource name
- Installed capacity
- Fuel type
- IESO zone
- In-service date
- Out-of-service date
- Status
- The installed capacity in MWs for thermal resources represent the maximum active power capability minus station service load collected through the CDMS. For non-thermal resources, the installed capacity is the maximum active power capability collected through the CDMS. For non-market participants, the installed capacity is assumed to be their contract capacity. The in-service date for new resources is the expected start date of commercial operation. The out-of-service date is the end of a resource's contract, commitment or the retirement date, not the date of market de-registration.

There are three types of resource status: existing, committed and merchant. Existing resources have a contract/commitment or are rate-regulated and are currently in operation. Committed resources have a contract, but are still in the construction/commissioning phase, or do not have a contract but are expected to be available during the study horizon. Merchant resources are resources that operate in the IESO electricity market without a contract.

Two supply cases have been modeled in the APO and are designed to align with the latest Annual Acquisition Report (AAR) published in March of 2022, primarily by including some planned actions outlined in that AAR.

Case 1 is intended to define maximum system needs (some of which could be met by existing resources) and includes existing and committed resources until the end of their contract / commitment period, with hydroelectric resources assumed to be available for the duration of the study period. Case 2 defines the minimum need for new resources, and assumes all resources continue to operate for the duration of the outlook period. Both cases include resources committed by IESO planned actions or government policy statements at the time of APO development. After the supply outlook database is created, it is supplemented with information required to properly model the performance of each resource. Some of this supplemental information is common between resource adequacy and energy assessments, while other information is assessment specific.

### 3.1 ICAP Ratings

For resource adequacy modelling, ICAP represents the available capacity at a given point in time. The maximum capability for most thermal generating resources, such as nuclear, biofuel and gas-fired generators, is affected by external factors, such as ambient temperature and humidity or cooling water temperature. To capture those variables, the ICAP value for each thermal generator is modelled on a monthly basis.

For thermal resources, see Section 4.5.1 of the [Methodology to Perform the Reliability Outlook](#) document.

For hydroelectric, wind, and solar resources, monthly ICAP ratings are equal to the installed capacity.

### 3.2 Hydroelectric, Wind, Solar and Storage

Hydroelectric, wind, and solar resource performance is captured through measures other than ICAP ratings. To inform the modelling of hydroelectric, wind, and solar resources, historical and simulated hourly profiles are used for each generator.

Hourly historical data is plant-specific and includes historical production, scheduled operating reserve and market offer data. Hourly simulated production data is specific to a certain site; resources are mapped to the closest appropriate simulated site, depending on technology type.

To assess capacity contribution factors, solar and wind generation uses Allocated Quantity of Energy Injected (AQEI) and foregone energy that coincides with the top 200 hours of highest Ontario demand per season, over the most recent 5 years on a zonal fleet wide basis.

For hydroelectric resources, hydroelectric performance modeling is based on a combination of historical production, historical offer data and incorporating multiple water years.

For energy storage, due to limited amount of data on historical performance for storage facilities operating in Ontario, an Equivalent Forced Outage Rate on Demand (EFOR<sub>d</sub>) of 5% is assumed for all storage.

### 3.3 Forced Outage Rates



For thermal resources, performance is measured with Equivalent Forced Outage Rate on Demand (EFOR<sub>d</sub>). EFOR<sub>d</sub> is a measure of the probability that a generating unit will not be available due to forced outages or forced deratings when there is demand on the unit to generate.<sup>4</sup> A generator is subject to failure when it is online (requested by the system) or offline due to economics (not requested by the system). EFOR<sub>d</sub> is a measure of the probability that a generating unit will not be available regardless of the system needs.

The Equivalent Forced Outage Rate on demand is currently defined in the IEEE Std 762-2006 as following:

where

SH — Service Hours

FOH<sub>d</sub> — Forced Outage Hours on demand

EFDH<sub>d</sub> — Equivalent Forced Derated Outage Hours on demand

The IESO determines an EFOR<sub>d</sub> of a generator based on 5 years of dataset. The dataset is based on a rolling window of 5 years of historical AQEI (Allocated Quantity of Energy Injected data that accounts for outage data from CROW Window). Every year, a new data is added to the dataset and the oldest year is discarded from the EFOR<sub>d</sub> calculation.

$$EFOR_d = \left( \frac{FOH_d + EFDH_d}{SH + FOH_d} \right) \times 100$$

of historical AQEI (Allocated is MWh received from meter losses and totalization) and (Control Room Operators year of the AQEI and outage

To ensure the accuracy of calculated EFOR<sub>d</sub> percentage, the EFOR<sub>d</sub> analysis excluded certain types of outages. These include:

- Curtailment outages
- Cross-over hold outages: outages where a generator is derated and a technical threshold is put on hold during operation
- Contract termination: outages were requested where the generator’s contract ended

### 3.3.1 Generator Chronology

To calculate EFOR<sub>d</sub>, a chronology is developed for each generator covering the 5-year window. The chronology describes, for the entire 5 years, the state that the generator was in. The seven possible states, and its definition, are outlined in Table 1.

---

<sup>4</sup> IEEE Power Engineering Society. (2007). IEEE 762 Standard Definitions for Use in Reporting Electric Generating Unit Reliability Availability and Productivity. *Definitions*, 3.

**Table 1 | Seven Possible States**

No.	Grouping	State	Description
1	Available	I/S [In-Service]	The unit is fully available and operating
2	Available	RS [Reserve Shutdown]	The unit is available (i.e. has no outages) but not required for service <sup>5</sup> (i.e. $AQEI \leq 1\% \text{ MCR}$ <sup>6</sup> , Maximum Continuous Rating)
3	Available	F-derate1 [Forced Derating1]	A forced derating D1 which occurred during the period of demand (i.e. $AQEI > 1\% \text{ MCR}$ ) and is mapped to the first derate level
4	Available	F-derate2 [Forced Derating2]	A forced derating D2 which occurred during the period of demand (i.e. $AQEI > 1\% \text{ MCR}$ ) and is mapped to the second derate level
5	Unavailable	F [Forced Outage]	A full forced outage
6	Unavailable	P [Planned Outage]	A full planned outage
7	Available	P-derate [Planned Derating]	A portion of the planned derating which occurred during the period of demand (i.e. $AQEI > 1\% \text{ MCR}$ )

EFOR<sub>d</sub> states are defined in Table 2 below. Notably, if the outage data indicates that a generator should not be running (F or P) and AQEI indicates that it was running, the AQEI data overrides CROW data and the I/S state is assigned.


<sup>5</sup> IEEE Power Engineering Society. (2007). IEEE 762 Standard Definitions for Use in Reporting Electric Generating Unit Reliability Availability and Productivity. *Unit States*, 9.

<sup>6</sup>  $AQEI \leq 1\% \text{ MCR}$ : AQEI is represented in MWh where MCR is represented in hour. MWh divided by hour yields MW, and if the MW value is less than 0.01 then the generator is assumed to be in reserve shutdown.

**Table 2 | EFOR<sub>d</sub> States**

Outage Info	AQEI < 1% of MCR	1% < AQEI < 99%	AQEI > 99% of MCR
No Outages	RS	I/S	I/S
Forced Out	F	I/S	I/S
Forced Derate	RS	F-derate	I/S
Planned Out	P	I/S	I/S
Planned Derate	RS	P-derate	I/S

**Figure 1 | Chronology of Operating States**



**3.3.2 EFOR<sub>d</sub> Calculation**

To calculate the EFOR<sub>d</sub>, a count of the hours in each of the seven states and a count the number of transitions between each state is needed. An example is shown in the two tables below.

**Table 3 | Hours in State**

State	Hours
I/S	5,209
F - Derate 2	743
F - Derate 1	139
F	1,191
RS	22,108
P	9,755
P - Derate	4,679

**Table 4 | Number of Transitions**

	Number of Transitions:							To:
	I/S	F-Derate 2	F-Derate 1	F	RS	P	P-Derate	
I/S	0	5	6	13	259	2	17	
F-Derate 2	4	0	2	0	49	0	9	
F-Derate 1	11	2	0	0	4	0	17	
From: F	4	0	1	0	24	3	0	
RS	255	46	8	15	0	38	292	
P	1	0	0	4	38	0	0	
P-Derate	27	11	17	0	280	0	0	

Three intermediate quantities are needed for the EFORD formula:

- 1. Service hours (SH)** is the sum of hours in the states I/S, F – Derate 2, F – Derate 1, and P – Derate. In other words, all hours where AQEI >= 1% of MCR.
- 2. FOH<sub>d</sub>** is calculated using the demand factor *f* as outlined in IEEE Std 762-2006 section 6.10.1, where FOH is the number of hours in state F, state F-Derate 2, and state F-Derate 1.

$$FOH_d = f \times FOH$$

$$f = \left\{ \frac{\left\{ \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{T} \right\}}{\left\{ \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{D} \right\}} \right\}$$

Calculating the demand factor requires looking at the number of transitions and the number of hours in each state:

- The variable *r* is the average duration of a forced outage. It is the total hours in state F (FOH) divided by the number of transitions to F.  $1/r$  is the repair rate.
- The variable *T* is the average duration of a reserve shutdown. It is the total hours in state RS divided by the number of transitions from RS to a state other than P. Transitions from RS to Pare excluded because a long shutdown interrupted by a planned outage is counted as a single reserve shutdown.
- The variable *D* is the average demand time (duty cycle time). It is the total SH divided by the number of transitions from the F or RS state to one of the states that define SH.

**3. EFDH<sub>d</sub>** accounts for forced derates during service hours. It is calculated as:

$$EFDH_d = EFDH - ERSFDH$$

where

EFDH — Equivalent Forced Derated Outage Hours

ERSFDH — Equivalent Reserve Shutdown Forced Derated Hours

**a. EFDH** — Equivalent Forced Derated Outage Hours

$$EFDH = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n FD_i \times T_i}{MC}$$

where

$FD_i$  – is Forced Derated states

$T_i$  – is the number of hours accumulated in the time category of interest between the *i* and the (*i* + 1) change in capacity

MC – is the Maximum Capacity

**b. ERSFDH** — Equivalent Reserve Shutdown Forced Derated Hours

$$ERSFDH = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n RSFD_i \times T_i}{MC}$$

where

$RSFD_i$  – is Reserve Shutdown Forced Derated states

$T_i$  – is the number of hours accumulated in the time category of interest between the  $i$  and the  $(i + 1)$  change in capacity

MC – is the Maximum Capacity

### 3.4 Planned Outages

Planned outage information is received from market participants, and used to develop planned outage schedules for each generator over the planning horizon. Data from the Control Room Operations Window (CROW) takes precedence, followed by data submitted through Form 1230s or submitted directly by market participants. For years and/or generators for which no planned outage information has been submitted, the IESO uses a combination of available submitted information and historical planned outage rates to make assumptions about future planned outages.

Some resource types require resource-specific inputs for planned outages:


- Hydroelectric planned outages are generally not modelled explicitly, as outages are embedded within the historical profiles. When significant outages of sufficient duration are planned, these outages are modelled.
- Wind and solar planned outages are not modelled explicitly as they are embedded within the simulated profiles.
- Demand response is assumed to have no planned outages.

For the APO, capacity needs are displayed after some outages have been rescheduled. To comply with the ORTAC/NPCC resource adequacy criteria, non-critical outages may be moved from critical periods. This minimizes system costs as it is more efficient to move an outage than purchase new capacity. Usually, major outages (including nuclear refurbishment outages and regulatory-driven outages) are not moved in the APO resource adequacy assessment. However, opportunities to reschedule these particular outages are considered in other near-term adequacy assessments, such as the Reliability Outlook.

## 4. Resource Adequacy Assessment

The resource adequacy assessment takes the demand forecast and supply outlook as a starting point, and then introduces probabilistic risks to determine the loss of load expectation (LOLE). The assessment is performed using General Electric’s Multi-Area Reliability Simulation (MARS) model, as detailed in Figure 2.

**Figure 2 | Overview of Inputs and Process for MARS Model and Resource Adequacy Assessment**



### 4.1 MARS Model Overview

A sequential Monte Carlo simulation forms the basis for the MARS calculating algorithm. The sequential simulation steps through the study period chronologically, enabling MARS to model time-correlated events and calculate various measures of reliability, including LOLE in days/year.

MARS is capable of probabilistically modelling uncertainty in forecast load and generating unit availability due to forced outages. Furthermore, MARS can determine the expected number of times various emergency operating procedures (EOPs) will be used in each zone.

In MARS, system reliability is determined by combining the following:

- Randomly generated forced outage patterns of thermal units
- Planned outage schedules of thermal units
- Capacity and/or energy limitations of both thermal and non-thermal units
- Transfer limits of interfaces between interconnected zones
- Hourly chronological load and load forecast uncertainty




The system can be modelled with recognition of random events, such as equipment failures and load uncertainties, as well as deterministic rules that govern system operation. For each yearly system simulation, the model is run between 500 and 2,000 random iterations.

## 4.2 Demand and Load Forecast Uncertainty

Each zone has an hourly load from the demand forecast, as well as a monthly load forecast uncertainty (LFU) distribution. The LFU is derived by simulating the effect of many years of historical weather on forecasted loads. Monthly distributions of simulated demand peaks are generated at a zonal level and then adjusted to match the equivalent distribution at the provincial level.

The adjusted LFU distributions are used to create a seven-step approximation of the actual distribution, as demonstrated in Figure 3. When generating reliability indices, the MARS model assesses all seven steps of the LFU distribution, weighted by probability.

**Figure 3 | Illustrative Example of Load Forecast Uncertainty Distribution**



## 4.3 Thermal Generators

The MARS model does not include dispatch-related constraints, such as minimum run time, variable costs, or ramp rates; it assumes any available thermal resources will be scheduled appropriately in the operational planning time frame to satisfy system needs.

All thermal generators use the following inputs described in the Section 3 Supply Outlook:

- In-service and out-of-service dates
- Monthly ICAP ratings
- IESO zone
- Planned outages

For non-nuclear thermal generators, the input planned outage schedule is converted into an annual planned outage rate for each model year. The MARS model creates an optimized outage schedule that minimizes LOLE, while respecting the outage rates provided. For nuclear generators, the input planned outages schedule is input directly into the model.

The forced outage rates (EFOR<sub>d</sub>) described in the Section 3 Supply Outlook are converted to state transition matrices for input into MARS. A probability of moving from one state to another is assigned to each generator, such that the calculated EFOR<sub>d</sub> is replicated in the model. In each iteration of the MARS model, a random pattern of forced outages is produced, governed by the state transition matrices.

Some thermal generators have limited fuel supply, requiring a modified modelling approach. For these units, a monthly energy limit is given to the model which can be used as needed to shave system peaks; any unused energy may be carried over into the next month. Forced outages cannot be modelled using a state transition matrix for energy-limited resources; instead, the maximum capacity of these units is reduced such that the effective capacity is equivalent to a thermal generator with forced outages.

## 4.4 Hydroelectric Generators

Hydroelectric generators are modeled as either a run-of-river or peaking plant. The modeling approach is different depending on the type of plant.

Run-of-river plants are modeled using hourly profiles. In the Monte Carlo analysis, each iteration of the model randomly selects a different yearly run-of-river profile from the previous thirty years of data. Annual hourly run-of-river profiles are either actual hourly historical production or simulated production profiles. Actual historical hourly production is used for years where the data is available and the generator was operating at its current installed capacity. Simulated production profiles are used for years where actual historical production is unavailable or the generator was operating at a different installed capacity than its current configuration. Simulated hourly production profiles are created by taking historical daily flow data and converting it into an hourly production profile.

Peaking plants are treated as dispatchable energy-limited resources. These generators can be dispatched as needed to meet system conditions, up to the maximum dispatchable capacity, provided there is sufficient dispatchable energy remaining in the month. Inputs for the peaking plants are calculated on a monthly basis, using the last five years of operational data as this best reflects current operations. There is a minimum output, which is the average daily minimum flow for the month. The maximum output is the 90th percentile of daily maximum offers, which accounts for forced and planned outages. The monthly energy is the average monthly energy production.

## 4.5 Wind Generators

Wind generation is aggregated by IESO zone. For the Monte Carlo analysis, the model randomly selects a different yearly simulated profile during each iteration.

For resource adequacy assessments, wind generation uses 30 years of simulated hourly profiles. Wind generators are matched to the closest simulated site, and then output is scaled relative to installed capacity.

## 4.6 Solar Generators

Solar generation is aggregated by IESO zone. In the Monte Carlo analysis, in each iteration the model randomly shuffles the order of the days within each month for solar production.

Solar generation uses 10 years of simulated hourly profiles. Solar generators are matched to the closest simulated site and technology type (ground-mount or rooftop), and then output is scaled relative to installed capacity.

## 4.7 Demand-Side Resources

The IESO models two demand-side resources as a supply resource: demand response (DR) and dispatchable loads (DL). Both measures are modelled on an as-needed resource in MARS and will only be used when all other supply-side resources are insufficient to meet demand. DR and DL capacity is aggregated by IESO zone.

Monthly demand-response capacity is equal to the capacity obligation from the most recent auction, de-rated by historical performance during testing. Effective capacity available from dispatchable loads is determined based on historical bids, using the last five years of history, by the DL participants during peak demand hours. The effective dispatchable load capacity for the summer (June to August) is based on bids during critical peak pricing periods.

## 4.8 Energy Storage

The largest energy storage facility in Ontario is the Sir Adam Beck Pump Generating Station. It is modelled in aggregate with the rest of the hydroelectric capacity at the Sir Adam Beck complex on the Niagara River. The remaining energy storage facilities in the IESO-administered markets can be used to represent resources that are operated by filling or charging energy into a reservoir and drawing from that energy when there are shortages in the system. This model can be used to represent units such as batteries or pumped-hydro storage.

The parameters that define a storage unit include the following:

- Storage size: Maximum amount of energy (in MWh) that can be stored
- Generation rating: Maximum capacity (in MW) that the unit can generate in an hour

In MARS, storage units are modeled as units that hold a certain amount of energy reserves that get deployed on an as needed basis, i.e., their capacity is used if there is a shortage in the system. Each replication may result in a different dispatch of the unit. The unit is limited by the amount of energy stored, its generating rating and the ability of providing power to the areas with shortages through interfaces.

If there is a surplus of capacity in the system, storage units will attempt to fill (or charge) their energy reservoirs without causing shortfalls in the system. The charging of storage units is limited to its charging capacity, the amount of room in the reservoir and the ability of the system to deliver excess capacity to the areas where storage units are located.

## 4.9 Transmission Transfer Capabilities

The IESO-controlled grid is modelled using 10 electrical zones with connecting transmission interfaces. Transmission transfer capabilities are developed according to NERC standard requirements; the methodology for developing transmission transfer capabilities is described in the IESO's [Transfer Capability Assessment Methodology: For Transmission Planning Studies](#). The transmission limits between zones show "all-in" service limits reflecting the next contingency. Forced outages are not considered as they are captured in the transmission security assessments that complement the resource adequacy assessment.

## 4.10 Neighbouring External Jurisdictions

Ontario’s neighbouring jurisdictions are not explicitly modelled. Firm export contracts are represented by a firm load that is added to the zone which is connected to the export market. Firm imports are represented as a firm generator, added to the zone connected to the jurisdiction providing the import.

Non-firm imports are included in resource adequacy assessments. The seasonal non-firm import capacity assumption is based on the minimum value from six non-firm import capacity considerations, shown in Table 5. The most limiting value was imports that are likely to flow under tight supply conditions/prices, which yields seasonal values of 251 MW for summer and 243 MW for winter, is assumed for the APO.

**Table 5 | Non-firm import capacity considerations**

Consideration	Data Source
Excess capacity available in neighbouring areas (planning criteria)	NPCC “Review of Interconnection Assistance Reliability Benefits” report
Excess supply available in neighbouring areas in real-time	90 <sup>th</sup> percentile dependable import offer in top 5% of HOEP hours, last 4 years
Sufficient intertie capability	Interconnection capacity with one element out of service at each intertie
Deliverable within Ontario	Coincident import capability with internal constraints
Ability to manage non-discretionary outages (regulatory requirements)	Minimum Resources Above Requirements from Reliability Outlook, assuming no outages or de-rates, last 4 years
Imports likely to flow under tight supply conditions/prices	90 <sup>th</sup> percentile dependable import flow in top 5% of HOEP hours, last 4 years

## 4.11 Emergency Operating Procedures

Emergency operating procedures, such as voltage reduction and public appeals, are not considered in the resource adequacy assessment for the APO. These measures are occasionally used in submissions to NPCC.

## 4.12 Determining the Resource Adequacy Requirement

The MARS model calculates the system LOLE based on the demand forecast and supply outlook, with associated risks and uncertainties. The capacity requirement is the amount of capacity that must be added to the system to satisfy the LOLE criterion.

Generally, the demand forecast and supply outlook do not produce an LOLE at criteria; the model must be adjusted to determine the amount of capacity that must be added (or removed) to meet the LOLE criteria. A standard “perfect capacity,” a resource which is available in all hours of the study period, is used to adjust the capacity in the model. When the perfect capacity has a negative value, it represents the amount of supply reduction that could be accepted and still satisfy the resource adequacy criteria.

To satisfy the LOLE criteria, the MARS model is run iteratively with different amounts of perfect capacity for each season. When enough data points have been found in the neighbourhood of 0.1 days/year LOLE, a best-fit curve is created.

#### **4.12.1 Reserve Margin**

The reserve margin is expressed as a percentage of demand at the time of the annual peak where the LOLE is at or just below 0.1 days per year. At least once per year, IESO will calculate the required reserve margin at the time of annual peak for the next five years and will publish this value. Below is a breakdown of IESO’s reserve margin calculation:

- Total Resource Requirement (MW) = Summer Effective Capacity – Summer Capacity Surplus/Deficit
- Reserve Margin Available (MW) = Summer Effective Capacity – Summer Peak Demand
- Reserve Margin Available (%) = Reserve Margin Available (MW) / Summer Peak Demand
- Reserve Margin Requirement (%) = (Total Resource Requirement – Summer Peak Demand) / Summer Peak Demand

#### **4.12.2 Seasonal Considerations**


Seasonal LOLE targets are required to develop seasonal capacity requirements. The IESO may select any allocation of LOLE between summer and winter seasons,<sup>7</sup> provided that the sum of the two seasonal targets over a given year is no more than 0.1 days/year.

---

<sup>7</sup> Summer: May-October, Winter: November-April

The IESO has determined that in the long run, an allocation of 0.06 days/year in summer and 0.04 days/year in winter minimizes total annual capacity requirements. An allocation of 0.09 days/year in summer and 0.01 days/year in winter may minimize capacity costs, if summer capacity is assumed to have a higher price than winter capacity.

**Figure 4 | Overview of Seasonal Considerations and Optimal LOLE Allocation**



As shown in Figure 4, the choice of seasonal LOLE allocation can change the seasonal capacity requirement by several hundred MW. For example, moving from 0.06 days/year in summer to 0.09 days/year would reduce the summer requirement by roughly 250 MW and increase the winter requirement by roughly 550 MW. In performing resource adequacy assessments, the IESO allocates LOLE across the summer and winter periods in a manner that minimizes the amount of capacity required to satisfy the resource adequacy criteria of 0.1 days/year.

### 4.13 Nuclear Refurbishment Reserve

Ontario currently has 18 nuclear units, six of which are expected to retire by 2024/2025. Since 2016, 10 of the remaining 12 units have been undergoing mid-life refurbishment, predicted to take 15 years. Given the size of each unit, there is a significant risk to resource adequacy if the return of units is delayed due to unforeseen circumstances. There is also some risk of increased forced outage rates pre- and post-refurbishment. These risks and their associated impact on LOLE are assessed outside of MARS due to limitations in the model.

Based on information provided by nuclear operators, a return-to-service distribution is used to capture the likelihood of refurbishment delay. Nuclear plant outage rates have generally increased as the units approach refurbishment, as they return to service from refurbishment and as the units approach end-of-life. Performance risk is captured by creating distributions of projected EFOR<sub>d</sub> change, based on the actual submissions by generators.

By probabilistically assessing the delays to service and forced outage rates, a spreadsheet-based Monte Carlo analysis tool is used to first calculate the average change in available capacity. Then with an updated available nuclear capacity, a risk-adjusted LOLE-MW curve is created, which is used to determine the amount of additional reserve required to maintain a yearly LOLE of 0.1 days/year.

#### 4.14 Hourly Probability of Loss of Load

MARS provides a loss of load probability for each hour of the study period. The sum of these hourly values is equal to the annual LOLH (hours/year). In the report, the hourly probabilities are shown for each month of a particular study year.

#### 4.15 Duration of Resource Adequacy Risks Periods

Using the metric introduced in Section 4.14, if the probability of loss of load is non-zero for more than two consecutive hours, those hours are counted as one event with a duration that is equal to the count of those hours. In the report, these events are divided into 2-hour groups (e.g. duration of less than two hours, duration of two to four hours, etc.). For each group, the frequency of events with that duration are shown. In addition, a cumulative percentage, represents the share of each duration group out of all loss of load events in that year.

## 5. Energy Assessments

Both energy adequacy and energy production assessments are performed using the UPLAN model.

### 5.1 UPLAN Model Overview

UPLAN is an economic dispatch model that simulates the dispatch of electricity resources based on the variable cost of energy production on an hourly basis over the planning time frame. The model takes into account the operating characteristics of each generator and their cost of dispatch. For each hour, generator offers are simulated, based on their expected dispatch costs. The clearing price is the dispatch cost of the last unit that clears in each hour. This is accomplished using linear programming (LP) with DC Optimal Power Flow (OPF) for both unit commitment and economic dispatch while respecting system constraints.

UPLAN commits available generators in Ontario and its neighbouring jurisdictions in the Eastern Interconnection to meet the load and ancillary service requirements at each load bus. The model minimizes the cost of dispatch across the Eastern Interconnection, taking into account electricity import and export opportunities.

### 5.2 Demand Forecast

Each of the IESO's 10 zones has an hourly load from the demand forecast consistent with the resource adequacy assessment. UPLAN is a deterministic model; there is no load forecast uncertainty applied.

### 5.3 Thermal Generators

Thermal generators include a number of different fuel types (nuclear, natural gas, fuel oil, or biofuel) that create heat using an input fuel that is converted to electricity. Along with the model inputs used for the resource adequacy assessment, each dispatchable thermal generator has the following additional inputs for UPLAN:

- Heat rate
- Variable operations & maintenance (VO&M) cost
- Fuel price
- Carbon price
- Start-up costs
- Minimum up time and down time
- Ramp-up rate

Fossil fuels are subject to a carbon price, consistent with the most recent applicable carbon price policies. For each facility, the heat rate is combined with a projection of fuel price and carbon price to determine the total fuel cost, in dollars per megawatt-hour, for each hour. The dispatch cost is the combination of total fuel cost, carbon price, and VO&M cost.

#### 5.3.1 Combined Heat and Power Generators



Combined heat and power (CHP) generators are cogeneration units that provide both thermal and electrical output. CHP generators can be either self-scheduling or dispatchable. In UPLAN, CHP self-scheduling facilities are assumed to run on weekdays for 16 hours a day (7 a.m. to 11 p.m.). If available for a self-scheduling CHP generator, a historical profile is used in place of the generic profile. If the heat rate of a CHP generator is known, it is used in UPLAN and the generator is treated as a dispatchable unit.

### **5.3.2 Dual-Fuel and Energy-Limited Thermal Generators**

Some thermal generators have unique operational characteristics that require specialized model inputs. Operating characteristic data for these types of units are generally provided directly by the asset owner.

### **5.3.3 Bioenergy Generators**

Most bioenergy facilities, excluding those with unique operational characteristics, are considered to be “must-run” resources. The assumed capacity factor for these generators ranges from 40-80 per cent, depending on the technology type and fuel availability.

## **5.4 Hydroelectric Generators**

Hourly hydroelectric generation profiles are created external to UPLAN, and then entered into the model as a must-run resource. The production profiles for each generator are based on the historical flow of water and energy production, with the goal of optimizing production based on water conditions, operational capabilities, and market opportunities.

The hydroelectric generation profile is split into a must-run component and a dispatchable component. The dispatchable component is optimized to ensure the most efficient resource is relied upon to meet peak-demand requirements, which means that dispatchable hydroelectric generation is being dispatched prior to the operation of natural gas generation.

To accomplish this optimization, the demand forecast is adjusted to account for other must-run resources (wind, solar, nuclear, and run-of-river hydro). The remaining hydroelectric units are then dispatched to peak shave in the order from least flexible to most flexible, in terms of operational characteristics. Geographically, this tends to be from the northwestern Ontario, to the northeast, and then to the south.

The optimization process accounts for the run-of-river flows that are subject to cascading impacts based on the given river system, as is the case for the Mississagi and Madawaska River systems. For these river systems, a correlation of the flow between stations incorporates the time lag experienced for peaks in flow between stations from upstream to downstream.

## **5.5 Wind Generators**

Wind generation is modelled using the median production year of 31 historical simulated profiles which were provided by UL. Wind generation is geographically aggregated at bus level and treated as a must-run resource.

## **5.6 Solar Generators**

Solar generation is modelled using the median production year of the historical simulated profiles provided by UL. Solar generation is geographically aggregated at bus level and treated as a must-run resource.

## 5.7 Demand-Side Resources

Demand response and dispatchable loads are not currently simulated in UPLAN, as these resources supply very little energy and are modelled for resource adequacy only.

## 5.8 Transmission System

For energy assessments, internal transmission transfer capabilities are not explicitly modelled except for the East-West Tie, Flow North/South, and Flow Into Ottawa interfaces. External transmission transfer capabilities between Ontario and neighbouring jurisdictions are included in the model. Planned and unplanned outages of transmission elements are not considered. Transmission upgrades expected over the assessment horizon are incorporated into the energy model with their respective planned in-service dates.

## 5.9 Neighbouring Jurisdictions

Ontario's neighbouring jurisdictions are modelled using the same methods as for Ontario. Model input data on demand, supply, and transmission are obtained from the UPLAN vendor.

## 5.10 Unserved Energy

Unserved energy in the APO represents the amount of load, in a median weather year, that a resource mix is unable to meet, without consideration of energy from imports. In hours where there is insufficient energy production, the difference between energy demand and energy supply is the unserved energy. The unserved energy reported in the APO are the annual sums of all hourly unserved energy values.

## 5.11 Surplus Baseload Generation

There may be periods when the amount of must-run generation within Ontario is greater than demand. This extra supply is called surplus baseload generation (SBG). When Ontario is modelled with interconnections to its neighbouring jurisdictions, the low dispatch cost of these must-run resources means their production is generally exported.

When Ontario is modelled without interconnections, some must-run generation must be curtailed. The curtailment is done in accordance with SE-91.<sup>8</sup> Must-run resources are curtailed in the following order: dispatchable hydroelectric, CHP, wind generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 5 MW, solar, wind generators with a nameplate capacity less than 5 MW, bioenergy, run-of-river hydroelectric, and nuclear. The surplus baseload generation are the annual sums of all curtailed energy.

## 5.12 Marginal Costs

The dispatch of generation in UPLAN is based on the hourly dispatch cost. The marginal cost for each hour is the clearing price, which is the dispatch cost of the last unit that clears in that hour. In the APO, the reported marginal cost is the annual average hourly marginal cost.

## 5.13 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

---

<sup>8</sup> For more information, refer to the [Renewable Integration \(SE-91\) Engagement](#)

The APO presents a graph showing historical and forecast greenhouse gas emissions. Historical emissions for Ontario are taken from the National Inventory Report<sup>9</sup> up to and including 2020 as there is a two-year lag time in the data. Estimates of historical emissions in years for which data are unavailable (2021, 2022) are created using actual generation and emission factors calculated based on historical data. Forecast emissions are an output of the UPLAN model and are calculated as the product of each unit's forecast generation and associated emission factor.

---

<sup>9</sup> For more information, refer to the [National Inventory Report](#)

---

**Independent Electricity  
System Operator**

1600-120 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1

Phone: 905.403.6900

Toll-free: 1.888.448.7777

E-mail: [customer.relations@ieso.ca](mailto:customer.relations@ieso.ca)

**ieso.ca**

 [@IESO\\_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets)

 [facebook.com/OntarioIESO](https://facebook.com/OntarioIESO)

 [linkedin.com/company/IESO](https://linkedin.com/company/IESO)