

Feedback Form

Regional Electricity Planning in the GTA West Region – August 20, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Emily Ferguson

Title: Regulatory and Policy Team Manager

Organization: Minogi Corp. (Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation)

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: September 4, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the GTA West region [engagement webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the GTA West regional planning webinar held on August 20, 2026, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on draft Integrated Regional Resource Plan (IRRP) recommendations for the region. A copy of the presentation as well as recording of the session can be accessed from the [engagement webpage](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by September 4, 2026.

Topic	Feedback
What feedback is there on the detailed options analysis?	Minogi Corp. appreciates the additional detail provided on the wire and non-wire options under consideration for the GTA West region. We recognize the need for significant new electricity infrastructure to respond to forecast growth, including new transmission lines and stations, upgrades to existing infrastructure, and interim measures to maintain reliability while larger projects are developed. The analysis indicates that non-wire options may help address certain station capacity needs and interim supply shortfalls but may not be capable of addressing medium- and long-term

supply capacity and load security needs on their own.

We support the evaluation of options based on technical feasibility, ability to address identified needs, cost-effectiveness, lead time, and community considerations. We recommend that the community considerations criterion be applied broadly and transparently to include cumulative environmental and land-use effects, potential impacts on First Nation rights, interests and harvesting, community impacts, climate resilience, and interactions with other existing and proposed infrastructure.

Consistent with our April 2026 submission, particular attention should be given to the cumulative effects of electricity infrastructure alongside the Northwest GTA Transmission Corridor, Highway 413, the Parkway Belt West Plan Study Area, and other corridor-based or growth-related initiatives. The presentation confirms that the Northwest GTA corridor is being refined near Highway 413 to support future infrastructure in York, Peel, and Halton and is intended to accommodate both bulk and regional transmission infrastructure.

We encourage the IESO to explain, as recommendations are refined, how cumulative effects and First Nation considerations influence the comparison and selection of options. This should include identifying where options depend upon new corridors or facilities, where existing infrastructure or corridors can be used, and where routing or siting constraints could limit opportunities to avoid or mitigate impacts. Although precise routing and siting will occur at a later stage, key constraints and cumulative effects should inform regional planning before preferred solutions are established.

The options analysis identifies several solutions that rely on the Northwest GTA corridor, including siting future growth on new stations and developing transmission to connect new areas of load growth. It also identifies a new regional supply point at Milton and a new 230 kV line along the corridor to supply northern Peel. We recommend that the IESO clearly describe the relationships and dependencies among the GTA West IRRP, the Northwest GTA corridor work, the South and Central Bulk Plan, Highway 413 planning, and other overlapping regional or bulk studies. This would help communities and First Nations understand which decisions are being made through each process, what remains open for input, and how feedback provided in one process will be carried into related processes.

Where non-wire analyses remain underway, including for certain station and supply capacity needs, the final recommendations should identify the assumptions, achievable demand reductions, implementation requirements, timing, and residual need for wires infrastructure. This transparency would clarify whether a non-wire option is being considered as a complete alternative, an interim measure, or part of an integrated solution.

Topic	Feedback
How can the IESO continue to engage with communities and stakeholders as these recommendations are refined?	Minogi Corp. appreciates the IESO's early notification of regional planning initiatives and its commitment to continued engagement. As recommendations are refined, engagement should continue early enough to influence decisions and should not be deferred until detailed routing, siting, or project implementation, when the available flexibility to avoid or reduce impacts may be more limited. We recommend that the IESO: • Continue direct and timely information sharing with the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation and other potentially affected First Nations as the IRRP recommendations develop. • Provide clear maps and plain-language materials showing the geographic relationship among identified electricity needs, proposed infrastructure options, protected or proposed corridors, Highway 413, and other overlapping infrastructure initiatives. • Clearly distinguish decisions made through the IRRP from matters that will be determined through subsequent bulk planning, corridor protection, routing, siting, environmental assessment, or project-specific processes. • Provide an explanation of how First Nation and community feedback has affected the assessment of options and the draft and final recommendations. • Share updated information when assumptions change, including demand forecasts, project timing, corridor requirements, the role of non-wire alternatives, and dependencies on other plans. • Maintain a clear contact and notification process so potentially affected First Nations receive notice before key recommendations or implementation directions are finalized. • Coordinate engagement schedules and information across related provincial and infrastructure processes where possible, reducing duplication and helping participants understand cumulative effects and interconnected decisions. The presentation states that the IESO intends to present draft recommendations in Q4 2026 and complete and publish the IRRP report in Q4 2026. It also indicates that wires solutions would proceed to a transmitter-led Regional Infrastructure Plan, while implementation mechanisms for non-wire solutions would be determined following plan publication. Engagement before and during these transitions will be important to preserve continuity, ensure that earlier feedback is carried forward, and clarify future opportunities for First Nation participation.

General Comments/Feedback

Minogi Corp. appreciates the opportunity to provide further comments on the GTA West Regional Electricity Planning initiative.

We acknowledge that the GTA West Regional Planning Area includes the traditional territory of the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation. As noted in our April 2026 submission, the planning area also intersects with major infrastructure and growth-related initiatives, including the Northwest GTA Transmission Corridor, the Parkway Belt West Plan Study Area, Highway 413, and potential rail expansions.

At this stage, Minogi Corp. has no specific objection to the overall regional planning approach presented. However, the detailed options analysis reinforces the importance of coordinated and integrated planning. The proposed solutions include significant new station and transmission infrastructure, and several options depend on or interact with parallel corridors and bulk-planning initiatives.

We encourage the IESO and relevant agencies and proponents to ensure that cumulative environmental, land-use, First Nation harvesting, and community impacts are identified and considered early. This should occur before planning advances to a stage where routing, siting, sequencing, or mitigation flexibility is constrained. The April submission similarly emphasized early coordination and cumulative-effects consideration as regional infrastructure systems evolve in parallel.

Minogi Corp. welcomes continued information sharing as the draft recommendations are developed and as future work progresses toward routing, siting, environmental assessment, or implementation. We also request that the final IRRP document how input from First Nations and communities was considered and identify the subsequent engagement and consultation processes associated with each recommended solution.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.