

Feedback Form

Regional Electricity Planning in the Toronto Region – July 10, 2025

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Dr. Deborah de Lange

Title: Associate Professor

Organization: Toronto Metropolitan University

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 16th, 2025

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Toronto [engagement webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the Toronto regional planning webinar held on July 10, 2025, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on the results of the options screening. A copy of the presentation as well as recording of the session can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by July 25, 2025.

Topic	Feedback
What feedback do you have regarding the results of the wire and non-wire options screening?	Most of the analysis is politically biased in favour of private interests and thus, I do not trust the work. The kind of analysis you are doing has already been done by experts around the world, so it's easy for anyone with any knowledge to know the analysis is wrong. Anyone with basic industry knowledge knows we can meet most of Toronto's power needs with "non-wire" options. Look at rooftop solar and solar on parking lots (parking lots now need shade anyway because they are too hot because of heat island effects) just to start. Then there is off-shore wind and geothermal. Off-shore wind should not be eliminated as an option in the analyses. Please read the existing literature, examine the world's solutions (now, well advanced in many places because they are not facing corrupt/incompetent forces to the same extent as is clear here), and work with our very strong renewable energy sector in Canada. We would do much better for Ontario by going 100% renewable. Lots of literature shows this. We are dealing with institutional corruption on a massive scale in Ontario. It's very sad for our economy and competitiveness. Our province is being sold out and this is traitorous to Ontario.
What feedback do you have on the preliminary transmission wire options?	We should start with "non-wire" solutions including local and community level renewables, conservation, etc. Please refer to most of the world's experts who would direct you differently. I would support a "wire" connection (DC system) to bring Quebec's hydro power to Ontario.
What feedback do you have regarding how screened-in options could inform the options analysis and draft recommendations?	The "screened-in" options should only include renewable energy systems (with storage) as anyone in the industry with knowledge would tell you. Use wind, water, solar, and geothermal. We have no reason to use polluting and expensive gas and/or nuclear at all. Please redo the analysis to go 100% renewable in Ontario. It is perfectly possible, cheaper, safer, cleaner, and is better for our economy.

Topic	Feedback
Additional information that should be provided in future engagements to help understand perspectives and insights.	I am extremely concerned about the lack of independence, from the current Ontario government, of the IESO, as is suggested by the biased and incorrect analyses. We need all of the work to be done based on the best science, currently viable renewable energy innovations, and cost analyses. This is obviously not happening here and perhaps, the Auditor General of Ontario needs to be involved in this sham of an analysis.

General Comments/Feedback

I am tired of watching Ontario go the wrong way on energy systems due to private interests lobbying our government and our government conceding to them. The public needs to trust its government that it is working in the public's interests and this is clearly not the case. The world is watching and the world knows we can go 100% renewable. We need to shut down fossil fuel systems, wind down nuclear as the reactors become old, and phase in 100% renewable energy in this province. Lots of analysis shows this is entirely possible and is a better path for Ontario. Please start over again and complete an objective analysis, focusing on conservation and renewable installations, without interference from biased industry and government actors.