

Demand Response Working Group – *Feedback Form*

Meeting Date: June 19, 2019

Date Submitted: 2019/07/04	Feedback provided by (optional): Company Name: ___Northland Power Inc. ___ Contact Name: ___Mike Zajmalowski___ Phone: _____ Email: _____
---	--

Following the June 19, 2019 meeting of the Demand Response Working Group (DRWG), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on questions posed during the presentation. The presentation can be accessed from the DRWG engagement [webpage](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the DRWG engagement webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Section	Question/Topic	Stakeholder Feedback
Revised DRWG 2019 Work Plan	<p>Please provide feedback by July 5, 2019</p> <p>Stakeholders are asked to review the revised DRWG work plan and identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Items missing from the revised work plan; either specific issues to be addressed within the more general work plan items (e.g. simplify testing process) or areas to address that are missing altogether • Concerns with proposed next steps and alternatives for consideration • Concerns with timelines proposed and preferred timeline including rationale for why alternate timeline is needed 	
Testing of HDR Resources - Proposal	<p>Please provide feedback by July 5, 2019</p> <p>Seeking stakeholder feedback on the proposal</p>	
Cost Recovery for Out-of-Market Activation of Hourly DR Resources – Proposal	<p>Please provide feedback by July 5, 2019</p> <p>Seeking stakeholders feedback on concept and design considerations</p>	<p>The IESO has proposed 3 potential methodologies that they are considering in determining compensation for out of market activations;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using energy bids as representative costs • Historical precedents, such as CBDR activation payments • Identify costs on individual or type of resource basis <p>Given the IESO’s experience with the RT-GCG program, the IESO should determine whether the high costs and time required to accurately define, verify and audit provides more benefit to the IESO and participants than the alternatives. For bullet #3, similar to what it did</p>

		<p>with the RT-GCG program, the IESO will need to consider whether its prepared to go through the process of defining explicitly what costs are allowed and not allowed. If the IESO goes down this route it shouldn't leave the door open for potential audits, but be explicit not if a participant will be audited in the future, but when they will be audited in the future and how the IESO would assess their costs to determine whether those costs are reasonable for cost recovery.</p> <p>Given, that one of the reasons the IESO went through with the pre-approval process in the RT-GCG Stakeholder Engagement was to eliminate the need for costly audits, the IESO should reconsider this option and instead think of a reasonable methodology to apply to either bullet #1 or #2 above.</p>
<p>Energy Payments for Economic Activation of DR Resources - Proposal</p>	<p>Please provide feedback by July 19, 2019</p> <p>Seek stakeholder input on approach to conducting the analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the appropriate analysis to complete? • Who is best to complete the analysis? • Who else should be consulted? • When is a decision required by? 	

Other Comments/Feedback:

