



## Market Rule Amendment Submission

Please complete the first four parts of this form and submit the completed form by email or fax to the following:

Email Address: [Rule.Amendments@theIMO.com](mailto:Rule.Amendments@theIMO.com)

Fax No.: (416) 506-2847 Attention: Market Rules Group

**Subject:** *Market Rule Amendment Submission*

All information submitted in this process will be used by the *IMO* solely in support of its obligations under the "Electricity Act, 1998", the "Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998", the "Market Rules" and associated policies, standards and procedures and its licence. All submitted information will be assigned the confidentiality classification of "public" upon receipt. You should be aware that the *IMO* will *publish* this *amendment submission* if the Technical Panel determines it warrants consideration and may invite public comment.

Terms and acronyms used in this Form that are italicized have the meanings ascribed thereto in Chapter 11 of the "Market Rules".

### PART 1 – SUBMITTER’S INFORMATION

Please enter contact information in full

<b>Name:</b> Tracy Leng	
(if applicable) <i>Market Participant / Metering Service Provider No.</i> <sup>1</sup> :	<i>Market Participant Class:</i> Other
<b>Telephone:</b> (416) 506-2834	<b>Fax:</b> (416) 506-2847
<b>Email Address:</b> rule.amendments@theimo.com	

### PART 2 – MARKET RULE AMENDMENT SUBMISSION INFORMATION

<b>Subject:</b> System Operation		
<b>Title:</b> Facility Registration Terminology		
<b>Nature of request (please indicate with X):</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Alteration</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Deletion</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Addition</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Clarification</u>		
<b>Chapter:</b> 7	<b>Appendix:</b>	<b>Sections:</b> 2.2 and 10.2
<b>Sub-sections proposed for amending/clarifying :</b> 2.2.12, 2.2.16, 2.2.22, 10.2.2, 2.2A.2,		

<sup>1</sup> This field is restricted to a maximum of 12 characters and does not allow any spaces or underscore.

**PART 3 – DESCRIPTION OF THE ISSUE**

Provide a brief description of the issue and reason for the proposed amendment. If possible, provide a qualitative and quantitative assessment of the impacts of the issue on you and the *IMO-administered markets*. Include the Chapter and Section number of the relevant market rules.

In Chapter 7, sections 2.2A.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.11, 2.2.12, 2.2.15, 2.2.16, 2.2.21, 2.2.22 and 10.2.2, the phrases “approve an application to register”, “approve an application for registration” and “approved for registration” all have the same meaning. They all mean the facility’s application for registration has been approved.

To avoid any possible confusion as to whether those terms have different meanings, it is proposed that uniform terminology be adopted.

**PART 4 – PROPOSAL (BY SUBMITTER)**

Provide your proposed amendment. If possible, provide suggested wording of proposed amendment.

Amend the language in Chapter 7, section 2.2 and 10.2 to utilize a uniform term: “approve an application for facility registration”.

**PART 5 – FOR IMO USE ONLY**

<b>Technical Panel Decision on Rule Amendment Submission</b>	
MR number: MR-00034-Q00	
Date submitted to <i>Technical Panel</i> :	
Accepted by <i>Technical Panel</i> as: ___ General ___ Urgent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor (please indicate with X)	Date: August 20, 2002
<b>Criteria for acceptance: The Amendment Submission identifies ambiguity or confusion within the rules that cause interpretation confusion and leads to poor coordination of implementation or to future disputes.</b>	
<b>Priority: Low</b>	
<b>Criteria for assigning priority: The Technical panel determined that the use of different terms may lead to confusion but the extent to which this issue is adversely affecting a number of market participants is low.</b>	
Not accepted (please indicate with X):	
Clarification/interpretation required (please indicate with X):	
<b>Technical Panel minutes reference: IMOTP 110-1</b>	

**PART 5 – FOR *IMO* USE ONLY**

***Technical Panel Comments:* The Technical Panel determined that this Amendment Submission was minor and non-impactive and therefore, stakeholding is not required. As a result, the Technical Panel also determined that they would vote on the Market Rule Amendment Proposal at the same time as the above determination.**